arXiv:1610.06445v1 [math.DG] 20 Oct 2016

ON QUATERNIONIC COMPLEXES OVER UNIMODULAR QUATERNIONIC MANIFOLDS

WEI WANG

Abstract. Penrose's two-spinor notation for 4-dimensional Lorentzian manifolds can be extended to two-component notation for quaternionic manifolds, which is a very useful tool for calculation. We construct a family of quaternionic complexes over unimodular quaternionic manifolds by elementary calculation. On complex quaternionic manifolds, which are essentially the complexification of real analytic quaternionic Kähler manifolds, the existence of these complexes was established by Baston by using twistor transformations and spectral sequences. Unimodular quaternionic manifolds constitute a nice and large class of quaternionic manifolds: the conformal change of a unimodular quaternionic structure is still unimodular quaternionic and the complexes over such manifolds are conformally invariant. This class of manifolds, including quaternionic Kähler manifolds, are the real version of torsion-free QCFs introduced by Bailey and Eastwood. We show the ellipticity of these complexes and its Hodge-type decomposition. We also obtain a Weitzenböck formula to establish vanishing of the cohomology groups of these complexes for quaternionic Kähler manifolds with negative scalar curvatures.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
2. (Unimodular) quaternionic manifolds 5
2.1. The local quaternionic frames 5
2.2. The complex vector bundles H and E 9
3. Curvatures of quaternionic manifolds 12
3.1. The induced connections on bundles H and E 12
3.2. The curvature tensors 13
3.3. The curvature decomposition 15
4. The quaternionic complexes 17
4.1. The k-Cauchy-Fueter complexes 17
4.2. Conformal invariance 20
5. Analysis of the complexes 23
5.1. The ellipticity of the k-Cauchy-Fueter complex 23
5.2. The Hodge-type decomposition 27
6. Vanishing Theorem over quaternionic Kähler manifolds 29
6.1. The formal adjoint operators 30
6.2. A Weitzenböck formula 32
Appendix A. The curvature decomposition 35
References 38

Supported by National Nature Science Foundation in China (No. 11571305).

1. Introduction

Quaternionic manifolds are important in the supersymmetric theory in physics, in particular in nonlinear sigma models (the quantum field theories of harmonic maps from spacetime into a Riemannian manifold). It is well known that the supersymmetric sigma models are deeply related to geometries with complex structures: the target manifold must be a Kähler manifold in $N = 1$ theories; it must be a hyperKähler manifold in rigid $N = 2$ supersymmetric theories; while in local supersymmetric $N = 2$ theories, it must be a quaternionic Kähler manifold of negative curvature, etc.. The quaternionic complexes over quaternionic Kähler manifolds were used to investigate $N = 2$ supersymmetric black holes recently [12]. The Baston operator in the these complexes appears in quantization of $N = 2$ supergravity black holes [22]. Physicists are also interested in supersymmetric and superconformal theory over more general (not necessarily quaternionic Kähler) quaternionic manifolds (cf. e.g. [8]). The geometry of quaternionic manifolds is an active direction of research in last three decades and there are vast literatures (cf. e.g. [6] [16] [19] [26] [27] [32] [33] and references therein).

Recall that an *almost quaternionic structure* $\mathbb{Q}$ on a manifold M is a rank-3 subbundle of EndTM which is locally spanned by three almost complex structures I_1, I_2, I_3 on TM satisfying the commutating relation of quaternions:

$$I_1 I_2 = -I_2 I_1 = I_3, \qquad I_1^2 = I_2^2 = I_3^2 = -id_{|TM}. \tag{1.1}$$

I_1, I_2, I_3 is called a *local admissible basis* of $\mathbb{Q}$. A *quaternionic manifold* M is an almost quaternionic manifold with a torsion-free connection preserving the almost quaternionic structure $\mathbb{Q}$, i.e.

$$\nabla I_r = -\omega_s I_s + \omega_t I_t \tag{1.2}$$

for some 1-forms ω_1, ω_2 and ω_3 , where (r, s, t) stands for a cyclic permutation of $(1, 2, 3)$. A quaternionic manifold M is called *unimodular* if the quaternionic connection preserves a volume form ω on M. It is denoted by $(M, \mathbb{Q}, \omega)$. An almost quaternionic manifold with a compatible metric g, i.e.

$$g(IX, IY) = g(X, Y), \tag{1.3}$$

for any $X, Y \in TM$ and $I \in \mathbb{Q}$, is called an *(almost) hyperhermitian manifold*. It is called *quaternionic Kähler* if the Levi-Civita connection for the metric also preserves the almost quaternionic structure.

It is well known [26] that the complexified tangent bundle of a $4n$-dimensional almost quaternionic manifold M has the decomposition:

$$\mathbb{C}TM \cong E \otimes H, \tag{1.4}$$

where H is a 2-dimensional complex vector bundle and E is a $2n$-dimensional complex vector bundle over M. In this paper we construct a family of elliptic differential complexes over a unimodular quaternionic manifold M (it is right conformally flat if $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M = 4$):

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow \Gamma\left(\odot^k H^*\right) \xrightarrow{D_0^{(k)}} \Gamma\left(\Lambda^1 E^* \otimes \odot^{k-1} H^*\right) \xrightarrow{D_1^{(k)}} \cdots\cdots \longrightarrow \Gamma\left(\Lambda^k E^*\right) \xrightarrow{D_k^{(k)}} \Gamma(M, \Lambda^{k+2} E^*) \\ \xrightarrow{D_{k+1}^{(k)}} \Gamma\left(\Lambda^{k+3} E^* \otimes H\right) \longrightarrow \cdots\cdots \xrightarrow{D_{2n-2}^{(k)}} \Gamma\left(\Lambda^{2n} E^* \otimes \odot^{2n-k-2} H\right) \longrightarrow 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

$k = 0, 1, \ldots$, where $\Lambda^q E^*$ is the q-th exterior product of E^*, $\odot^p H$ is the p-th symmetric product of H^*, and $\Gamma(V)$ denotes the space of smooth sections of a vector bundle V over M. The first operator $D_0^{(k)}$ is called the *k-Cauchy-Fueter operator*, and all operators are explicitly written down in Theorem 4.1. By using the twistor transformation and spectral sequences, Baston [6] proved the existence of these complexes over complex quaternionic manifolds, by generalizing the result and the complex geometric method of

Eastwood, Penrose and Wells [15] for $n = 1$. A *complex quaternionic manifold* $\widetilde{M}$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \widetilde{M} = 4n$ is a complex manifold such that its holomorphic tangential bundle has the decomposition:

$$T\widetilde{M} \cong \widetilde{E} \otimes \widetilde{H},$$

where $\widetilde{E}$ and $\widetilde{H}$ are holomorphic vector bundles of dimension $2n$ and 2, respectively, and there exists a torsion-free holomorphic connection preserving symplectic forms on $\widetilde{E}$ and $\widetilde{H}$. The complexification of a real analytic quaternionic Kähler manifold is a complex quaternionic manifold. Because the twistor transformation is a complicated technique in complex geometry and Baston's result holds only for real analytic quaternionic Kähler manifolds, it is quite interesting to construct the complexes (1.5) over more general quaternionic manifolds by only using elementary method of differential geometry.

Another motivation to consider these complexes comes from the function theory of several quaternionic variables. We write a vector in the quaternionic space $\mathbb{H}^n$ as $\mathbf{q} = (\mathbf{q}_0, \ldots, \mathbf{q}_{n-1})$ with $\mathbf{q}_l = x_{4l} + x_{4l+1}\mathbf{i} + x_{4l+2}\mathbf{j} + x_{4l+3}\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{H}$, $l = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$. The usual *Cauchy-Fueter operator* is defined as

$$\mathscr{D} : C^1(\mathbb{H}^n, \mathbb{H}) \to C(\mathbb{H}^n, \mathbb{H}^n), \qquad \mathscr{D}f = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\partial}_{\mathbf{q}_0} f \\ \vdots \\ \overline{\partial}_{\mathbf{q}_{n-1}} f \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.6}$$

for $f \in C^1(\mathbb{H}^n, \mathbb{H})$, where $\overline{\partial}_{\mathbf{q}_l} = \partial_{x_{4l}} + \mathbf{i}\partial_{x_{4l+1}} + \mathbf{j}\partial_{x_{4l+2}} + \mathbf{k}\partial_{x_{4l+3}}$, $l = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$. A function $f : \mathbb{H}^n \to \mathbb{H}$ is called *(left) regular* if $\mathscr{D}f \equiv 0$ on $\mathbb{H}^n$. As in the theory of several complex variables, to investigate regular quaternionic functions, it is important to solve the *non-homogeneous Cauchy-Fueter equation*

$$\mathscr{D}f = h \tag{1.7}$$

for prescribed $h \in C^2(\Omega, \mathbb{H}^n)$ over a domain Ω. This system is overdetermined, i.e. the number of equations is larger than the number of unknown functions for $n > 1$. So for (1.7) to be solvable, h must satisfy some compatible condition. This condition was found by Adams, Loustaunau, Palamodov and Struppa [1] with the help of computer algebra method, namely,

$$\mathscr{D}_1 h = 0, \tag{1.8}$$

for some differential operator of second order $\mathscr{D}_1 : C^2(\Omega, \mathbb{H}^n) \to C(\Omega, \Lambda^3\mathbb{H}^n)$. In fact, there exists a differential complex corresponding to the Dolbeault complex in the theory of several complex variables:

$$0 \to \Gamma(\Omega, \mathbb{H}) \xrightarrow{\mathscr{D}} \Gamma(\Omega, \mathbb{H}^n) \xrightarrow{\mathscr{D}_1} \Gamma(\Omega, \Lambda^3\mathbb{H}^n) \to \cdots\cdots \to 0. \tag{1.9}$$

This is called the *Cauchy-Fueter complex* (cf. [13] [14] and references therein). It was realized later that the Cauchy-Fueter operator is exactly the 1-Cauchy-Fueter operator and the Cauchy-Fueter complex [10] [13] is equivalent to a sequence obtained by Baston in [6], although Baston's result is a complexified version. In [39], the author introduced the notion of k-Cauchy-Fueter operator on the quaternionic space $\mathbb{H}^n$. Functions annihilated by this operator are called *k-regular*. In the function theory, (1.5) is called the *k-Cauchy-Fueter complex*. The k-Cauchy-Fueter complex over $\mathbb{H}^n$ was explicitly written down by using the twistor transformation and spectral sequences [39] (see also [9]). By solving the non-homogeneous k-Cauchy-Fueter equations, we showed the Hartogs' phenomenon for k-regular functions [39]. To develop the function theory over curved manifolds, we need to know these complexes on manifolds explicitly.

The k-Cauchy-Fueter operator over the 1-dimensional quaternionic space $\mathbb{H}$ also has the origin in physics: it is the elliptic version of *spin $k/2$ massless field* operator [11] [15] [24] [25] over the Minkowski space: $D_0^{(1)}\phi = 0$ corresponds to the Dirac-Weyl equation of an electron for mass zero whose solutions correspond to neutrinos; $D_0^{(2)}\phi = 0$ corresponds to the Maxwell equation whose solutions correspond to

photons; $D_0^{(3)}\phi = 0$ corresponds to the Rarita-Schwinger equation; $D_0^{(4)}\phi = 0$ corresponds to linearized Einstein's equation whose solutions correspond to weak gravitational field; etc.. Salamon [27] constructed another family of quaternionic complexes over quaternionic manifolds:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow \Gamma\left(\odot^k H^*\right) &\longrightarrow \Gamma\left(\Lambda^1 E^* \otimes \odot^{k+1} H^*\right) \longrightarrow \cdots\cdots \\ &\longrightarrow \Gamma\left(\Lambda^p E^* \otimes \odot^{k+p} H^*\right) \longrightarrow \Gamma(M, \Lambda^{p+1} E^* \otimes \odot^{k+p+1} H^*) \longrightarrow \cdots\cdots, \end{aligned} \tag{1.10}$$

The half sequence beginning with $(k+1)$-th operator $D_{k+1}^{(k)}$ in (1.5) is similar to Salamon's complexes. The k-Cauchy-Fueter complex is interesting from the point of view of function theory since the space of k-regular functions over an open subsets, as the kernel of $D_0^{(k)}$, is infinite dimensional (cf. Remark 4.1).

The 0-Cauchy-Fueter operator $D_0^{(0)} : \Gamma(M, \mathbb{C}) \to \Gamma(M, \Lambda^2 E^*)$ is called the *Baston operator*. Certain exterior product of this operator gives us the quaternionic Monge-Ampère operator [2]. This interpretation allows us to develop pluripotential theory over $\mathbb{H}^n$ [34] [35] [36]. To develop pluripotential theory over curved quaternionic manifolds, in particular to study the quaternionic Calabi-Yau problem on quaternionic manifolds [3] [4], we need to know 0-Cauchy-Fueter complex on manifolds explicitly.

Penrose's two-spinor notation is very useful for studying 4-dimensional manifolds [24] [25]. It is generalized to complex quaternionic manifolds by Baston [6] and to more general complex paraconformal manifolds by Bailey and Eastwood [5]. As a real version, we extend this notation to quaternionic manifolds. The two-component coordinates for complex quaternionic manifolds are replaced by the two-component frame

$$\begin{pmatrix} Z_{00'} & Z_{01'} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ Z_{(2n-1)0'} & Z_{(2n-1)1'} \end{pmatrix} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} X_1 + \mathbf{i}X_2 & -X_3 + \mathbf{i}X_4 \\ X_3 + \mathbf{i}X_4 & X_1 - \mathbf{i}X_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ X_{4n-3} + \mathbf{i}X_{4n-2} & -X_{4n-1} + \mathbf{i}X_{4n} \\ X_{4n-1} + \mathbf{i}X_{4n} & X_{4n-3} - \mathbf{i}X_{4n-2} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\{X_a\}$ is a local quaternionic frame. This is motivated by the embedding of quaternionic numbers into 2×2-matrices:

$$x_1 + \mathbf{i}x_2 + \mathbf{j}(x_3 + \mathbf{i}x_4) \longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + \mathbf{i}x_2 & -x_3 + \mathbf{i}x_4 \\ x_3 + \mathbf{i}x_4 & x_1 - \mathbf{i}x_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This frame over the flat quaternionic space $\mathbb{H}^n$ plays an important role in the investigation of quanternionic analysis [20] [34] [36] [37] [39]. In section 2, we describe the bundles E and H locally, i.e. construct these bundles in terms of the coordinate charts of the quaternionic manifold M and transition functions. We will use the bundle

$$\mathfrak{T}^l_{q,p} := (\otimes^l H) \otimes (\otimes^q E^*) \otimes (\otimes^p H^*), \tag{1.11}$$

to construct the complexes. The isomorphism $\mathbb{C}TM \cong E \otimes H$ in (1.4) is realized by identification

$$Z_{AA'} = e_A \otimes e_{A'}, \tag{1.12}$$

where $\{e_A\}$ and $\{e_{A'}\}$ are frames of E and H, respectively. Moreover, the quaternionic connection on M induces a $\mathfrak{gl}(2n, \mathbb{C})$-connection on E and a $\mathfrak{su}(2)$-connection on H, respectively, and so the curvature of the quaternionic connection has two components

$$R_{abA}{}^{B} \qquad \text{and} \qquad R_{abA'}{}^{B'},$$

corresponding to curvatures of the bundles E and H, respectively. Here we use indices A, B and A', B' for components of sections of bundles E and H, respectively, and indices a and b for components of the local

quaternionic frame $\{X_a\}$. Furthermore, the curvatures of a quaternionic connection has a very special decomposition (cf. Proposition 3.3), which was given by Bailey and Eastwood [5] for complex quaternionic manifolds with a sketched proof. They generalized Penrose's result for 4-dimensional manifolds [24] §4.6. We show this curvature decomposition in the Appendix by using Bianchi identities. Two-component notation is a very useful tool for calculation over a quaternionic manifold and everything in this paper is based on elementary calculation by using two-component notation. So this paper is self contained.

With the help of the curvature decomposition, we can check that the sequence (1.5) is a complex, i.e. $D^{(k)}_{j+1} \circ D^{(k)}_j = 0$, by direct calculation in section 4.1. Unimodular quaternionic manifolds constitute a nice class of quaternionic manifolds. The conformal change of a unimodular quaternionic structure is still unimodular quaternionic, while the conformal change of a quaternionic Kähler structure is usually not quaternionic Kähler (cf. [23]). We also show the conformal transformation formula of these operators $D^{(k)}_j$, and that the cohomology groups are invariant under conformal transformations in section 4.

In section 5, we show the complex is elliptic, i.e. its symbol complex is a exact sequence of complex vector spaces. If we write the k-Cauchy-Fueter complex as

$$0 \longrightarrow \Gamma\left(\mathscr{V}^{(k)}_0\right) \xrightarrow{D^{(k)}_0} \Gamma\left(\mathscr{V}^{(k)}_1\right) \xrightarrow{D^{(k)}_1} \cdots \longrightarrow \Gamma\left(\mathscr{V}^{(k)}_{2n-1}\right) \longrightarrow 0, \tag{1.13}$$

where $\mathscr{V}^{(k)}_j$ is the j-th vector space in the sequence (1.5), we consider the *associated Laplacian operators*

$$\Box^{(k)}_j = D^{(k)}_{j-1} D^{(k)*}_{j-1} + D^{(k)*}_j D^{(k)}_j \tag{1.14}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, k-1, k+2, \ldots, 2n-2$, where $D^{(k)*}_j$ is formal adjoint operator of $D^{(k)}_j$. But $\Box^{(k)}_k$ and $\Box^{(k)}_{k+1}$ are differential operators of order 4 (cf. (5.17)) because $D^{(k)}_k$ is a differential operator of order 2. This is different from usual cases. The associated Laplacian operators are all self adjoint elliptic operators. By the theory of elliptic operators, we prove the Hodge-type decomposition and that the j-th cohomology group

$$H^j_{(k)}(M) = \left\{ f \in \Gamma\left(\mathscr{V}^{(k)}_j\right); D^{(k)}_j f = 0 \right\} / \left\{ D^{(k)}_{j-1} u; u \in \Gamma\left(\mathscr{V}^{(k)}_{j-1}\right) \right\}$$

of the k-Cauchy-Fueter complex over a compact unimodular quaternionic manifold (right conformally flat if $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M = 4$) is finite dimensional, and can be represented by Hodge-type elements:

$$\mathscr{H}^j_{(k)}(M) = \left\{ f \in \Gamma\left(\mathscr{V}^{(k)}_j\right); D^{(k)}_j f = 0, D^{(k)*}_{j-1} f = 0 \right\}.$$

In [11], we have already studied the cohomology groups of the k-Cauchy-Fueter complex and Hodge-type decomposition over domains in the 1-dimensional quaternionic space $\mathbb{H}$.

In the last section, we prove a Weitzenböck formula for these complex over a quaternionic Kähler manifold M, and show a vanishing theorem for the cohomologies $H^j_{(k)}(M)$, $j = 1, \ldots, k-1$, if its scalar curvature is negative. The Weizenböck formula and vanishing theorem for Salamon's complexes over such manifolds with positive scalar curvatures were already given by Horan [17] and Nagatomo-Nitta [21]. The latter one also includes the result for the k-Cauchy-Fueter complex for $j \geq k+3$.

2. (Unimodular) quaternionic manifolds

2.1. **The local quaternionic frames.** Denote

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Sp}(1, \mathbb{Q}) &:= \{aI_1 + bI_2 + cI_3 | a^2 + b^2 + c^2 \neq 0, a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}\}, \\ \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q}) &:= \{T \in \mathrm{Aut}(TM); TI = IT, I \in \mathbb{Q}\}, \\ \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{Q}) &:= \{T \in \mathrm{O}_g(TM); TI = IT, I \in \mathbb{Q}\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

as subgroups of AutTM. Their Lie algebras are defined similarly. Moreover, given a volume form on M, $\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{Q})$ is the group consisting of elements of $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{Q})$ which preserve this volume form.

On an almost quaternionic manifold, fixing a *local admissible basis* I_1, I_2, I_3 of the rank-3 bundle $\mathbb{Q}$ satisfying the quaternionic commutating relation, we can choose locally vector fields $X_1, X_5, \ldots, X_{4(n-1)+1}$ such that

$$\{X_1, I_1X_1, I_2X_1, I_3X_1, \ldots, X_{4j+1}, I_1X_{4j+1}, I_2X_{4j+1}, I_3X_{4j+1}, \ldots\} \tag{2.2}$$

is a frame of the tangent bundle, called a *local quaternionic frame*. Label this frame as $\{X_1, \ldots, X_{4n}\}$. It follow from the definition (2.2) that

$$I_sX_j = \sum_{k=1}^{4n} \underline{I_s}_{jk} X_k \tag{2.3}$$

with

$$\underline{I_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \quad \underline{I_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & \cdots \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \quad \cdots . \tag{2.4}$$

It is obvious that the matrices $\underline{I_s}$'s are the same for any local quaternionic frame. Here we have $\underline{I_2}\cdot\underline{I_1} = \underline{I_3}$ by $I_1I_2 = I_3$. If we relabel this frame as

$$Y_j = X_{2j-1}, \qquad Y_{2n+j} = X_{2j} = I_1Y_j, \qquad\qquad j = 1, \ldots, 2n, \tag{2.5}$$

the associated matrix of I_1 has a simpler form, i.e.

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_1Y_1 \\ \vdots \\ I_1Y_{4n} \end{pmatrix} = \underline{I_1} \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ \vdots \\ Y_{4n} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{with} \qquad \underline{I_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{2n} \\ -I_{2n} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.6}$$

where I_{2n} is the $2n \times 2n$ identity matrix. For a transformation $T \in \mathrm{Aut}\, TM$, write $TY_j = \widetilde{Y}_j$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{Y}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \widetilde{Y}_{4n} \end{pmatrix} = \underline{T} \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ \vdots \\ Y_{4n} \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.7}$$

for some $(4n \times 4n)$-matrix $\underline{T}$, called the *associated matrix* of the transformation T with respect to the frame $\{Y_j\}$. By definition (2.1), $T \in \mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{Q}), \mathrm{Sp}(n,\mathbb{Q}), \cdots$ if and only if $\underline{T} \in \mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{H}), \mathrm{Sp}(n,\mathbb{H}), \cdots$. This is because $TI_s = I_sT$ implies $\underline{I_s}\underline{T} = \underline{T}\underline{I_s}$. Here

$$\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{H}) := \left\{E \in \mathrm{GL}(4n,\mathbb{R}); E\underline{I_s} = \underline{I_s}E, s = 1,2,3\right\},$$
$$\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{H}) := \left\{x \in \mathfrak{gl}(4n,\mathbb{R}); x\underline{I_s} = \underline{I_s}x, s = 1,2,3\right\}, \qquad \mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{H}) := \left\{x \in \mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{H}); trx = 0\right\}.$$

Here tr is the trace of a $(4n \times 4n)$-matrix.

Proposition 2.1. *For* $\underline{T} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{H})$ *with* a, b, c *and* d *all real* $2n \times 2n$*-matrices, define a complex* $(2n \times 2n)$*-matrix* $\underline{T}^{\mathbb{C}} := a - \mathbf{i}b$*. Then,*

(1) $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{H}) \to \mathrm{GL}(2n,\mathbb{C})$ *defined by* $\underline{T} \to \underline{T}^{\mathbb{C}}$ *is a representation, i.e.* $(\underline{T}\cdot\underline{S})^{\mathbb{C}} = \underline{T}^{\mathbb{C}}\cdot\underline{S}^{\mathbb{C}}$.

(2) $\underline{T}^{\mathbb{C}}$ *satisfies*

$$\underline{T}^{\mathbb{C}}\underline{J} = \underline{J\overline{T}^{\mathbb{C}}}, \qquad \text{where} \quad \underline{J} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & \\ -1 & 0 & & & \\ & & 0 & 1 & \\ & & -1 & 0 & \\ & & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}_{2n\times 2n}. \tag{2.8}$$

(3) *If* $\underline{T}$ *is orthogonal, then* $\underline{T}^{\mathbb{C}} \in \mathrm{SU}(2n)$ *and is symplectic with respect to* $\underline{J}$.

Proof. (1) $\underline{I_1}\underline{T} = \underline{T}\underline{I_1}$ implies that

$$a = d, \qquad c = -b, \qquad \text{i.e.} \qquad \underline{T} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.9}$$

by $\underline{I_1}$ in (2.6). Now introduce a complex local basis of $\mathbb{C}TM$:

$$Z_j := Y_j + \mathbf{i}Y_{2n+j}, \qquad j = 1, \dots, 2n, \tag{2.10}$$

and another complex basis $\widetilde{Z}_j := \widetilde{Y}_j + \mathbf{i}\widetilde{Y}_{2n+j}$ with $\widetilde{Y}_j = TY_j$ for $T \in \operatorname{End} TM$. T induces a complex liner action on $\mathbb{C}TM$ trivially by

$$T(X + \mathbf{i}Y) := T(X) + \mathbf{i}T(Y). \tag{2.11}$$

Then the associated matrix of T with respect to the complex frame $\{Z_j\}$ is $\underline{T}^{\mathbb{C}}$, i.e.

$$\begin{pmatrix} TZ_1 \\ \vdots \\ TZ_{2n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{Z}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \widetilde{Z}_{2n} \end{pmatrix} = \underline{T}^{\mathbb{C}} \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 \\ \vdots \\ Z_{2n} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.12}$$

This is because

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{2n} T^{\mathbb{C}}_{kj} Z_j &= \sum_{j=1}^{2n} (a_{kj} - \mathbf{i}b_{kj})(Y_j + \mathbf{i}Y_{2n+j}) = \sum_{j=1}^{2n} (a_{kj}Y_j + b_{kj}Y_{2n+j}) + \mathbf{i}\sum_{j=1}^{2n} (-b_{kj}Y_j + a_{kj}Y_{2n+j}) \\ &= \widetilde{Y}_k + \mathbf{i}\widetilde{Y}_{2n+k} = \widetilde{Z}_k. \end{aligned}$$

$(\underline{T}\cdot\underline{S})^{\mathbb{C}} = \underline{T}^{\mathbb{C}}\underline{S}^{\mathbb{C}}$ follows directly from $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ -b' & a' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} aa' - bb' & ab' + ba' \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}$ and $(a - \mathbf{i}b)\cdot(a' - \mathbf{i}b') = aa' - bb' - \mathbf{i}(ab' + ba')$.

(2) Apply $I_1I_2 = -I_2I_1 = I_3$ to the local quaternionic frame (2.2) to get

$$I_2X_1 = X_3, \qquad I_2X_3 = -X_1, \qquad I_2X_2 = -X_4, \qquad I_2X_4 = X_2, \cdots. \tag{2.13}$$

Thus $I_2Y_1 = Y_2$, $I_2Y_2 = -Y_1$, $I_2Y_{2n+1} = -Y_{2n+2}$, $I_2Y_{2n+2} = Y_{2n+1}$, $\cdots$, i.e.

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_2Y_1 \\ \vdots \\ I_2Y_{4n} \end{pmatrix} = \underline{I_2}\begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ \vdots \\ Y_{4n} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad \underline{I_2} = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{J} & 0 \\ 0 & -\underline{J} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.14}$$

and $\underline{J}$ given by (2.8). Then $\underline{I_2}\underline{T} = \underline{T}\underline{I_2}$ for $\underline{T}$ given by (2.9) implies that

$$\underline{J}a = a\underline{J}, \qquad \underline{J}b = -b\underline{J}. \tag{2.15}$$

Consequently, we get $(a - \mathbf{i}b)\underline{J} = \underline{J}(a + \mathbf{i}b)$, i.e. (2.8) holds.

(3) When $\underline{T}$ is the orthogonal, i.e. $\underline{T}^t\underline{T} = I_{4n}$, we have

$$a^t a + b^t b = I_{2n}, \qquad b^t a - a^t b = 0,$$

which is equivalent to the unitarity of $\underline{T}^{\mathbb{C}}$, i.e., $\overline{\underline{T}^{\mathbb{C}}}^t \underline{T}^{\mathbb{C}} = (a+\mathbf{i}b)^t(a-\mathbf{i}b) = I_{2n}$. The unitarity of $\underline{T}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and (2.8) implies that $\underline{T}^{\mathbb{C}}\underline{J}(\underline{T}^{\mathbb{C}})^t = \underline{J}$, i.e., $\underline{T}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is symplectic with respect to $\underline{J}$. □

Now write a local quaternionic frame for $\mathbb{C}TM$ by two-component notation:

$$(Z_{AA'}) := \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 & -\overline{Z}_2 \\ Z_2 & \overline{Z}_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ Z_{2n-1} & -\overline{Z}_{2n} \\ Z_{2n} & \overline{Z}_{2n-1} \end{pmatrix} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} X_1 + \mathbf{i}X_2 & -X_3 + \mathbf{i}X_4 \\ X_3 + \mathbf{i}X_4 & X_1 - \mathbf{i}X_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ X_{4n-3} + \mathbf{i}X_{4n-2} & -X_{4n-1} + \mathbf{i}X_{4n} \\ X_{4n-1} + \mathbf{i}X_{4n} & X_{4n-3} - \mathbf{i}X_{4n-2} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.16}$$

where $A = 0, 1, \ldots, 2n-1$, $A' = 0', 1'$. We call $\{Z_{AA'}\}$ above the *two-component local quaternionic frame* for $\mathbb{C}TM$ (see [20] [39] for the flat case). Note that the second column is

$$Z_{A1'} = -\sum_{B=1}^{2n} \underline{J}_{AB}\overline{Z_{B0'}}.$$

Under the transformation $T \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$, we have $\widetilde{Z}_{A0'} = TZ_{A0'}$ by (2.12) and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ \widetilde{Z}_{A1'} \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = -\underline{J}\begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ \overline{\widetilde{Z}_{A0'}} \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = -\underline{J}\cdot\overline{\underline{T}^{\mathbb{C}}}\begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ \overline{Z_{A0'}} \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = -\underline{T}^{\mathbb{C}}\underline{J}\begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ \overline{Z_{A0'}} \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = \underline{T}^{\mathbb{C}}\begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ Z_{A1'} \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.17}$$

by (2.8). Namely, the induced action of T on the second column coincides with the action on the first one.

$\mathrm{Sp}(1, \mathbb{Q})$ acts on $\mathbb{C}TM$ as

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 Z_{A0'} &= -\mathbf{i}Z_{A0'}, \qquad I_1 Z_{A1'} = \mathbf{i}Z_{A1'}, \\ I_2 Z_{A0'} &= -Z_{A1'}, \qquad I_2 Z_{A1'} = Z_{A0'}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

by (2.3) and (2.13). Namely in terms of indices A', the associated matrices defined by $I_s Z_{AA'} = \sum_{B'=0',1'} \underline{I_s}_{A'B'} Z_{AB'}$ are $\underline{I_1} = \begin{pmatrix} -\mathbf{i} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{i} \end{pmatrix}$, $\underline{I_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Corollary 2.1. *If $T \in \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{Q})$, then $\underline{T}^{\mathbb{C}} \in \mathrm{SL}(2n, \mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. For $\underline{T} \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{H}) \cap \mathrm{SL}(4n, \mathbb{R})$, we can write $\underline{T} = \exp \underline{X}$ with some $\underline{X} \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{H}) \cap \mathfrak{sl}(4n, \mathbb{R})$. Then $\underline{X} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix}$ by (2.9) and $\mathrm{tr}\underline{X} = 2\,\mathrm{tr}a = 0$. Moreover, $\underline{J}b = -b\underline{J}$ in (2.15) implies that $\mathrm{tr}b = 0$. So $\mathrm{tr}\underline{X}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathrm{tr}(a - ib) = 0$, i.e. $\underline{X}^{\mathbb{C}} \in \mathfrak{sl}(2n, \mathbb{C})$. Then complexify $\underline{T} = \sum \frac{1}{n!}\underline{X}^n$ to get $\underline{T}^{\mathbb{C}} = \exp \underline{X}^{\mathbb{C}}$. □

Let $\{\omega^i\}$ be the coframe dual to $\{X_j\}$ and let $\{e^{AA'}\}$ be complex 1-forms dual to the two-component local quaternionic frame $\{Z_{AA'}\}$ in (2.16), i.e. $e^{AA'}(Z_{BB'}) = \delta^A_B \delta^{A'}_{B'}$. It is obvious that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{00'} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\omega^1 - \mathbf{i}\omega^2), \qquad e^{01'} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-\omega^3 - \mathbf{i}\omega^4), \\ e^{10'} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\omega^3 - \mathbf{i}\omega^4), \qquad e^{11'} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\omega^1 + \mathbf{i}\omega^2), \cdots, \end{aligned}$$

by the expression of $\{Z_{AA'}\}$ in (2.16), and so $(e^{00'}\wedge e^{11'})\wedge(e^{10'}\wedge e^{01'}) = \omega^1\wedge\omega^2\wedge\omega^3\wedge\omega^4$. Consequently,

$$vol := \omega^1\wedge\cdots\wedge\omega^{4n} = \left(\wedge_{A=0}^{2n-1} e^{A0'}\right)\wedge\left(\wedge_{B=0}^{2n-1} e^{B1'}\right). \tag{2.19}$$

A local quaternionic frame $\{X_1,\dots,X_{4n}\}$ is called a *local unimodular quaternionic frame* if the volume form of the manifold is locally given by *vol* in (2.19). Note that a local quaternionic frame becomes unimodular simply by multiplying a suitable factor.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that $\underline{I_1},\underline{I_2},\underline{I_3}\in\mathbb{Q}$ satisfy the commutating relation $\underline{I_r}\cdot\underline{I_s} = -\underline{I_t}$, where (r,s,t) stands for a cyclic permutation of $(1,2,3)$. If $\underline{T}\in\mathfrak{gl}(4n,\mathbb{R})$ satisfies*

$$[\underline{T},\underline{I_r}] = -\sigma_s\underline{I_t} + \sigma_t\underline{I_s}$$

for some real numbers $\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\sigma_3$, then $\underline{T}\in\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{H})\oplus\mathfrak{sp}(1)$. Where $\mathfrak{sp}(1)$ is the Lie algebra generated by $\underline{I_1},\underline{I_2},\underline{I_3}$.

Proof. It is direct to show that $[\underline{T}+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{u=1}^3\sigma_u\underline{I_u},\underline{I_r}] = 0$ by $\underline{I_r}\cdot\underline{I_s} = -\underline{I_t}$. Thus $\underline{T}+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{u=1}^3\sigma_u\underline{I_u}\in\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{H})$ by definition. □

Corollary 2.2. *Suppose that $\underline{I_1},\underline{I_2},\underline{I_3}$ as in Lemma 2.1. If $\underline{T}\in\mathrm{GL}(4n,\mathbb{R})$ satisfies $\underline{T}\,\underline{I_s}\,\underline{T}^{-1} = \sum_{t=1}^3 a_{st}\underline{I_t}$ for some $(a_{st})\in\mathrm{SO}(3)$. Then $\underline{T}\in\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{H})\mathrm{Sp}(1)$. Where $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ is the Lie group generated by $\underline{I_1},\underline{I_2},\underline{I_3}$.*

This is the group version of Lemma 2.1. In particular, a transformation preserving the almost quaternionic structure $\mathbb{Q}$ must be in $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{Q})\mathrm{Sp}(1,\mathbb{Q})$.

Corollary 2.3. *The quaternionic connection is $\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{H})\oplus\mathfrak{sp}(1)$-valued. If the manifold is unimodular or quaternionic Kähler, then the connection is $\mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{H})\oplus\mathfrak{sp}(1)$- or $\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{H})\oplus\mathfrak{sp}(1)$-valued.*

Proof. We write the connection coefficients as $\Gamma_{ij}{}^k$, i.e. $\nabla_{X_i}X_j = \Gamma_{ij}{}^kX_k$ for a local quaternionic frame $\{X_j\}$, and the $(1,1)$-tensor I_s as $I_{s;j}{}^k$. The equation (1.2) satisfied by the quaternionic connection is equivalent to

$$\Gamma_{il}{}^kI_{r;j}{}^l - \Gamma_{ij}{}^lI_{r;l}{}^k = -\omega_s(X_i)I_{t;j}{}^k + \omega_t(X_i)I_{s;j}{}^k, \tag{2.20}$$

i.e. we have $[-\Gamma_i,I_r] = -\omega_s(X_i)I_t+\omega_t(X_i)I_s$ if we write $\Gamma_i = (\Gamma_{ij}{}^k)$ and $I_s = (I_{s;j}{}^k)$ as $4n\times 4n$-matrices. It implies $\Gamma_i\in\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{H})\oplus\mathfrak{sp}(1)$ by Lemma 2.1. Since the connection preserves the volume form in (2.19), we see that $0 = \nabla_{X_i}(\omega^1\wedge\cdots\wedge\omega^{4n}) = -\sum_j\Gamma_{ij}{}^j\omega^1\wedge\cdots\wedge\omega^{4n}$, where $\{\omega^j\}$ is the coframe dual to the local unimodular quaternionic $\{X_j\}$. Then $\mathrm{tr}(\Gamma_{ij}{}^k)$=0 and so $\Gamma_i\in\mathfrak{sl}(4n,\mathbb{R})$. Thus the connection is $\mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{H})\oplus\mathfrak{sp}(1)$-valued by definition. If the connection is Levi-Civita, then $\Gamma_i\in\mathfrak{so}(4n)$. Thus the connection is $\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{H})\oplus\mathfrak{sp}(1)$-valued since $\mathfrak{gl}(n,\mathbb{H})\cap\mathfrak{so}(4n) = \mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{H})$. □

2.2. **The complex vector bundles H and E.** Now let $\{(U_\alpha,\Phi_\alpha)\}$ be coordinate charts of M. On each open set U_α, we choose a local admissible basis $I_1^\alpha,I_2^\alpha,I_3^\alpha$ of the quaternionic structure $\mathbb{Q}$ and a local quaternionic frame $\{X_1^\alpha,\dots,X_{4n}^\alpha\}$ associated to this admissible basis of $\mathbb{Q}$ as in (2.2). We can identify the tangential bundle $TM|_{U_\alpha}$ with $U_\alpha\times\mathbb{R}^{4n}$ by identifying the tangential vector X_j^α with the constant section e_j^α (the unit vector of $\mathbb{R}^{4n}$ with only a-th entry nonzero). Over $U_\alpha\cap U_\beta$ we can write $X_j^\alpha = \sum_{k=1}^{4n}\Psi_{jk}^{\alpha\beta}X_k^\beta$, $j=1,\dots,4n$, for some invertible $(4n\times 4n)$-matrix valued function $\Psi^{\alpha\beta} = (\Psi_{jk}^{\alpha\beta})$, i.e.

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_1^\alpha\\ \vdots\\ X_{4n}^\alpha\end{pmatrix} = \Psi^{\alpha\beta}\begin{pmatrix} X_1^\beta\\ \vdots\\ X_{4n}^\beta\end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.21}$$

Proposition 2.2. *For a (unimodular) quaternionic manifold, the tangent bundle TM can be trivialized as $U_\alpha \times \mathbb{R}^{4n}$ with transition functions given by $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{H})\mathrm{Sp}(1)$-valued functions $\Psi^{\alpha\beta}$. When the manifold is unimodular or quaternionic Kähler, transition functions are $\mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{H})\mathrm{Sp}(1)$- or $\mathrm{Sp}(n)\mathrm{Sp}(1)$-valued, respectively.*

Proof. By identifying the tangential vector X_j^α with the constant section e_j^α, (2.21) implies the transition mapping from $U_\alpha \times \mathbb{R}^{4n}$ to $U_\beta \times \mathbb{R}^{4n}$ is given by the the linear transformation

$$e_j^\alpha \mapsto \sum_k \Psi_{jk}^{\alpha\beta} e_k^\beta.$$

Obviously, the transition mappings satisfy the cocycle condition

$$\Psi^{\alpha\beta}\Psi^{\beta\gamma} = \Psi^{\alpha\gamma} \qquad \text{over} \quad U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \cap U_\gamma. \tag{2.22}$$

To see $\Psi^{\alpha\beta}$ to be $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{H})\mathrm{Sp}(1)$-valued, recall that for any fixed α and $s = 1,2,3$, we have $I_s^\alpha X_k^\alpha = \sum \underline{I_s}_{kl} X_l^\alpha$ by (2.3). For I_s^β as automorphism of TM over $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$, we have

$$I_s^\beta X_j^\alpha = \sum_{k=1}^{4n} I_s^\beta \left(\Psi_{jk}^{\alpha\beta} X_k^\beta\right) = \sum_{k,l=1}^{4n} \Psi_{jk}^{\alpha\beta} \underline{I_s}_{kl} X_l^\beta. \tag{2.23}$$

On the other hand, there exists a $\mathrm{SO}(3)$-valued function (a_{st}) such that $I_s^\beta = \sum_{t=1}^3 a_{st} I_t^\alpha$, and so

$$I_s^\beta X_j^\alpha = \sum_{t=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^{4n} a_{st} \underline{I_t}_{jk} X_k^\alpha = \sum_{t=1}^3 \sum_{k,l=1}^{4n} a_{st} \underline{I_t}_{jk} \Psi_{kl}^{\alpha\beta} X_l^\beta. \tag{2.24}$$

It follows from (2.23)-(2.24) that $\Psi^{\alpha\beta}\underline{I_s} = \sum_{t=1}^3 a_{st}\underline{I_t}\Psi^{\alpha\beta}$, Namely, $\Psi^{\alpha\beta}\underline{I_s}(\Psi^{\alpha\beta})^{-1} = \sum_t a_{st}\underline{I_t}$. Therefore $\Psi^{\alpha\beta}(x) \in \mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{H})\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ for any point $x \in U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ by Corollary 2.2. Now we can write

$$\Psi^{\alpha\beta} = (\Psi^{\alpha\beta\prime}, \Psi^{\alpha\beta\prime\prime})$$

with $\Psi^{\alpha\beta\prime} \in \mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{H})$ and $\Psi^{\alpha\beta\prime\prime} \in \mathrm{Sp}(1)$ pointwisely.

If the manifold is unimodular, we can require $\{X_1^\alpha, \ldots, X_{4n}^\alpha\}$ to be a local unimodular quaternionic frame for each α. Hence we have $\det(\Psi_{jk}^{\alpha\beta}) = 1$ by (2.21). In particular, $\Psi^{\alpha\beta\prime} \in \mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{H})$ by definition. If the manifold is quaternionic Kähler, we can require the local quaternionic frame $\{X_1^\alpha, \ldots, X_{4n}^\alpha\}$ orthonormal, because $I \in \mathbb{Q}$ is orthogonal with respect to the metric. Thus the matrix $(\Psi_{kj}^{\alpha\beta}) \in \mathrm{SO}(4n)$ by (2.21). In particular, $\Psi^{\alpha\beta\prime} \in \mathrm{Sp}(n)$ by definition. □

Since $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{H})$ commutes with $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$, we see that the cocycle condition (2.22) for $\Psi^{\alpha\beta}$ splits two identities:

$$\Psi^{\alpha\beta\prime}\Psi^{\beta\gamma\prime} = \Psi^{\alpha\gamma\prime}, \qquad \Psi^{\alpha\beta\prime\prime}\Psi^{\beta\gamma\prime\prime} = \Psi^{\alpha\gamma\prime\prime} \qquad \text{over} \quad U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \cap U_\gamma.$$

Thus they define a $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{H})$-bundle and a $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$-bundle, respectively. By representation $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{H}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(2n,\mathbb{C})$ in Proposition 2.1 (1), they define a $2n$-dimensional complex vector bundle E which is locally $U_\alpha \times \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ with transition maps $(\Psi^{\alpha\beta\prime})^{\mathbb{C}}$ acting on $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$, i.e.

$$e_A^\alpha \mapsto \sum_{B=0}^{2n-1} [(\Psi^{\alpha\beta\prime})^{\mathbb{C}}]_A{}^B e_A^\beta,$$

where e_A^α is the unit vector of $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$ over U_α with only A-th entry nonzero, and a 2-dimensional complex vector bundle H which is locally $U_\alpha \times \mathbb{C}^2$ with transition maps $(\Psi^{\alpha\beta\prime\prime})^{\mathbb{C}}$. By the construction as in the

proof of Proposition 2.2, $\mathbb{C}TM|_{U_\alpha}$ is trivialized as $U_\alpha \times \mathbb{C}^{2n} \times \mathbb{C}^2$ by identifying $Z^\alpha_{AA'}$ with the vector $e^\alpha_A \otimes e^\alpha_{A'}$. The transition function from $U_\alpha \times \mathbb{C}^{2n} \times \mathbb{C}^2$ to $U_\beta \times \mathbb{C}^{2n} \times \mathbb{C}^2$ is $((\Psi^{\alpha\beta\prime})^{\mathbb{C}}, (\Psi^{\alpha\beta\prime\prime})^{\mathbb{C}})$ (cf. (2.17)):

$$Z^\alpha_{AA'} \mapsto \sum_B \sum_{B'} [(\Psi^{\alpha\beta\prime})^{\mathbb{C}}]_A{}^B [(\Psi^{\alpha\beta\prime\prime})^{\mathbb{C}}]_{A'}{}^{B'} Z^\beta_{BB'}.$$

Thus the isomorphism $\mathbb{C}TM \cong E \otimes H$ in (1.4) is realized by identification $Z_{AA'} = e_A \otimes e_{A'}$ in (1.12).

As the dual bundle, H^* is a 2-dimensional complex vector bundle which is locally $U_\alpha \times \mathbb{C}^2$ with transition maps $[(\Psi^{\alpha\beta\prime\prime})^{\mathbb{C}}]^{-1}$ acting on $\mathbb{C}^2$. We denote $e^{A'}$ the unit vector of $\mathbb{C}^2$ with only A'-th entry nonzero. It is similar to define E^* and e^A. Consider

$$\varepsilon_{A'B'} e^{A'} \otimes e^{B'} \tag{2.25}$$

where $\varepsilon_{A'B'}$ is antisymmetric with $\varepsilon_{0'1'} = 1$. Here and in the following, we use the Einstein's convention of summation over repeated indices. It is a section of the line bundle $\Lambda^2 H^*$ and a symplectic form on H pointwisely. This is because (2.25) is invariant under the action of Sp(1) by $(\Psi^{\alpha\beta\prime\prime})^{\mathbb{C}}$ symplectic with respect to this symplectic form by Proposition 2.1 (3). So they can be glued to a global section. When the manifold is unimodular quaternionic, transition maps $(\Psi^{\alpha\beta\prime})^{\mathbb{C}} \in \mathrm{SL}(2n, \mathbb{C})$. Consider

$$\epsilon_{A_1 \dots A_{2n}} e^{A_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e^{A_{2n}} \tag{2.26}$$

where $\epsilon_{A_1 \dots A_{2n}}$ is the sign of the permutation from $A_1, \dots, A_{2n}$ to $1, \dots, 2n$. It is a global section of the line bundle $\Lambda^{2n} E^*$, because (2.26) is invariant under the action of $\mathrm{SL}(2n, \mathbb{C})$.

When the manifold is quaternionic Kähler, it is known from Proposition 2.1 that the transition maps are unitary and is symplectic with respect to J given by (2.8), i.e. $(\Psi^{\alpha\beta\prime})^{\mathbb{C}} \underline{J} \left[(\Psi^{\alpha\beta\prime})^{\mathbb{C}}\right]^t = \underline{J}$.

By the local frame $\{e^A\}$ of E^*, a local section of E^* can be written as $f^A e_A$. In general, by the local frame $\{e_{A'}\}$ of H and its dual frame $\{e^{A'}\}$ of H^*, a section f of $\mathfrak{T}^l_{q,p}$ in (1.11) can be written as

$$f = f^{A'_1 \dots A'_l}_{B_1 \dots B_q B'_1 \dots B'_p} e_{A'_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{A'_l} \otimes e^{B_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e^{B_q} \otimes e^{B'_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e^{B'_p}. \tag{2.27}$$

We can identify this section with the tuple of functions

$$\left(\dots, f^{A'_1 \dots A'_l}_{B_1 \dots B_q B'_1 \dots B'_p}, \dots \right). \tag{2.28}$$

A *contraction* is a map $C : \mathfrak{T}^{l+1}_{q,p+1} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{T}^l_{q,p}$ given by $(Cf)^{A'_1 \dots A'_l}_{B_1 \dots B_q B'_1 \dots B'_p} := f^{A'_1 \dots D' \dots A'_l}_{B_1 \dots B_q B'_1 \dots D' \dots B'_p}$, where the superscript and subscript D' appear in j-th and j'-th places, respectively. It is a well defined element of $\mathfrak{T}^l_{q,p}$ since $e^{D'} \otimes e_{D'} = \widetilde{e}^{E'} \otimes \widetilde{e}_{E'}$ under the transformation $\widetilde{e}^{E'} = (M^{-1})_{D'}{}^{E'} e^{D'}$, $\widetilde{e}_{E'} = M_{E'}{}^{D'} e_{D'}$. We use $\varepsilon_{A'B'}$ to raise or lower primed indices. For example,

$$f_{\dots}{}^{A'}{}_{\dots} = f_{\dots B' \dots} \varepsilon^{B'A'}, \qquad f_{\dots}{}^{A'}{}_{\dots} \varepsilon_{A'C'} = f_{\dots C' \dots},$$

where $(\varepsilon^{A'B'})$ is the inverse of $(\varepsilon_{A'B'})$, i.e.,

$$\varepsilon_{A'B'} \varepsilon^{B'C'} = \delta^{C'}_{A'} = \varepsilon^{C'B'} \varepsilon_{B'A'}.$$

We can choose a coordinate chart U_α with trivialization $H^*|_{U_\alpha} = U \times \mathbb{C}^2$ such that ε has the standard form locally:

$$(\varepsilon_{A'B'}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (\varepsilon^{A'B'}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.29}$$

On a unimodular quaternionic manifold, we can not use ϵ to to raise or lower unprimed indices. This is why we only consider tensors as sections of $\mathfrak{T}^l_{q,p}$. But on quaternionic Kähler manifold, we can use ϵ_{AB} to to

raise or lower unprimed indices and will consider tensors as sections of $(\otimes^m E)\otimes(\otimes^l H)\otimes(\otimes^q E^*)\otimes(\otimes^p H^*)$ (cf. Section 6).

3. Curvatures of quaternionic manifolds

The notation of 2-spinor calculus in Penrose-Rindler's books [24] [25] can be extended to quite useful two-component notation for quaternionic manifolds.

3.1. **The induced connections on bundles H and E.** Recall that a *covariant derivative* of a vector bundle V is a mapping $\nabla : \Gamma(V) \longrightarrow \Gamma((TM)^* \otimes V)$ satisfying

$$\nabla(fv) = df \otimes v + f\nabla v, \qquad \nabla(v_1 + v_2) = \nabla v_1 + \nabla v_2, \tag{3.1}$$

for any $v, v_1, v_2 \in \Gamma(V)$ and scalar function f. ∇ acts on V^* naturally by duality:

$$X(v, v^*) = (\nabla_X v, v^*) + (v, \nabla_X v^*)$$

for any vector field $X \in TM$, $v \in \Gamma(V)$ and $v^* \in \Gamma(V^*)$. A covariant derivative can be extended to a map $\nabla : \Gamma((\otimes^k V) \otimes (\otimes^l V^*)) \longrightarrow \Gamma((TM)^* \otimes (\otimes^k V) \otimes (\otimes^l V^*))$ given by

$$\nabla(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_1^* \otimes \cdots) = \sum_j v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \nabla v_j \otimes \cdots \otimes v_1^* \otimes \cdots + \sum_l v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \nabla v_l^* \otimes \cdots . \tag{3.2}$$

Proposition 3.1. *A connection preserving the almost quaternionic structure $\mathbb{Q}$ on M, i.e. (1.2) holds, induces an $\mathfrak{gl}(2n, \mathbb{C})$-connection ω' on E and an $\mathfrak{su}(2)$-connection ω'' on H. Conversely, such connections ω' and ω'' determine a connection of the tangent bundle preserving the almost quaternionic structure $\mathbb{Q}$.*

When the manifold is unimodular or quaternionic Kähler, ω' is $\mathfrak{sl}(2n, \mathbb{C})$- or $\mathfrak{sp}(n)$-valued, and $(\Psi^{\alpha\beta\prime})^{\mathbb{C}} \in \mathrm{SL}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ or $\mathrm{Sp}(n)$, $(\Psi^{\alpha\beta\prime\prime})^{\mathbb{C}} \in \mathrm{SU}(2)$.

Proof. For a local quaternionic frame $\{X_1^\alpha, \ldots, X_{4n}^\alpha\}$ over a coordinate chart U_α, we write the covariant derivative as

$$\nabla_X X_j^\alpha = \omega_\alpha(X)_j{}^k X_k^\alpha$$

for a vector field X on U_α. Then the quaternionic connection is given by a $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{H}) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1)$-valued 1-form $\omega_\alpha = ((\omega_\alpha)_j{}^k)$ on U_α by Corollary 2.3. Taking covariant derivatives on both sides of (2.21), we get the compatible condition

$$\omega_\alpha = \Psi^{\alpha\beta}\omega_\beta \left(\Psi^{\alpha\beta}\right)^{-1} + d\Psi^{\alpha\beta}\left(\Psi^{\alpha\beta}\right)^{-1}, \qquad \Psi^{\alpha\beta} \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{H})\mathrm{Sp}(1) \tag{3.3}$$

on $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$. After complexification, which is a representation by Proposition 2.1 (1), we get $(\omega_\alpha)^{\mathbb{C}} = (\omega'_\alpha, \omega''_\alpha)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \omega'_\alpha &= (\Psi^{\alpha\beta\prime})^{\mathbb{C}}\omega'_\beta \left[(\Psi^{\alpha\beta\prime})^{\mathbb{C}}\right]^{-1} + d(\Psi^{\alpha\beta\prime})^{\mathbb{C}}\left[(\Psi^{\alpha\beta\prime})^{\mathbb{C}}\right]^{-1}, \\ \omega''_\alpha &= (\Psi^{\alpha\beta\prime\prime})^{\mathbb{C}}\omega''_\beta \left[(\Psi^{\alpha\beta\prime\prime})^{\mathbb{C}}\right]^{-1} + d(\Psi^{\alpha\beta\prime\prime})^{\mathbb{C}}\left[(\Psi^{\alpha\beta\prime\prime})^{\mathbb{C}}\right]^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

It is well known that 1-forms satisfying the compatible condition define connections on the corresponding vector bundle. So we get a $\mathfrak{gl}(2n, \mathbb{C})$-connection ω' on the bundle E and a $\mathfrak{su}(2)$-connection ω'' on the bundle H. Here the complexification of $\mathfrak{sp}(1)$ is the 3-dimensional real Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ by (2.18).

Conversely, Given connection ω' and ω'' satisfying the compatible condition (3.4), by reversing the procedure of the complexification (Proposition 2.1 (1)): $a - \mathbf{i}b \longrightarrow \underline{T} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{H})$, we get a $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{H}) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1)$-valued 1-form ω satisfying the compatible condition (3.3). This connection preserves the almost quaternionic structure $\mathbb{Q}$ since (2.20) is satisfied. □

∇ is naturally extended to well defined mappings $E \to (\mathbb{C}TM)^* \otimes E$ and $H \to (\mathbb{C}TM)^* \otimes H$ by $\nabla_{X+\mathbf{i}Y} := \nabla_X + \mathbf{i}\nabla_Y$, which induce well defined mappings $E^* \to (\mathbb{C}TM)^* \otimes E^*$ and $H^* \to (\mathbb{C}TM)^* \otimes H^*$ by duality, and so we get a well defined mapping $\mathfrak{T}^l_{q,p} \to (\mathbb{C}TM)^* \otimes \mathfrak{T}^l_{q,p}$. Choose a local quaternionic basis $\{e_a := X_a\}_{a=1}^{4n}$ of TM and its dual $\{e^a\}_{a=1}^{4n}$. Write

$$\nabla e_A = \Gamma_{aA}{}^B e^a \otimes e_B, \qquad \nabla e_{A'} = \Gamma_{aA'}{}^{B'} e^a \otimes e_{B'}, \tag{3.5}$$

where $\Gamma_{aA}{}^B$ and $\Gamma_{aA'}{}^{B'}$ are connection coefficients: $\Gamma_{aA}{}^B = \omega'(X_a)_A{}^B$, $\Gamma_{aA'}{}^{B'} = \omega''(X_a)_{A'}{}^{B'}$. Then by duality, we have

$$\nabla e^A = -\Gamma_{aB}{}^A e^a \otimes e^B, \qquad \nabla e^{A'} = -\Gamma_{aB'}{}^{A'} e^a \otimes e^{B'}, \tag{3.6}$$

which are equivalent to

$$\nabla_a f_A = X_a f_A - \Gamma_{aA}{}^D f_D, \qquad \nabla_a f_{A'} = X_a f_{A'} - \Gamma_{aA'}{}^{D'} f_{D'}.$$

In general, ∇f for f given by (2.27)-(2.28) is the tuple

$$\left(\cdots, \nabla_a f^{A'_1\dots A'_l}_{B_1\dots B_q B'_1\dots B'_p}, \cdots\right),$$

is a section of $(\mathbb{C}TM)^* \otimes \mathfrak{T}^l_{q,p} \cong \mathfrak{T}^l_{q+1,p+1}$, by the identification (1.4), where

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_a f^{A'_1\dots A'_l}_{B_1\dots B_q B'_1\dots B'_p} := X_a f^{A'_1\dots A'_l}_{B_1\dots B_q B'_1\dots B'_p} &+ \Gamma_{aD'}{}^{A'_j} f^{\dots D'\dots}_{B_1\dots B_q B'_1\dots B'_p} \\ &- \Gamma_{aB_j}{}^D f^{A'_1\dots A'_l}_{\dots D\dots B'_1\dots B'_p} - \Gamma_{aB'_j}{}^{D'} f^{A'_1\dots A'_l}_{B_1\dots B_q\dots D'\dots}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

The covariant derivative is invariant after contraction: $\nabla(Cf) = C(\nabla f)$, because by (3.7), we have

$$[C(\nabla_a f) - \nabla_a(Cf)]^{A'_1\dots A'_l}_{B_1\dots B_q B'_1\dots B'_p} = \Gamma_{aE'}{}^{D'} f^{A'_1\dots E'\dots A'_l}_{B_1\dots B_q B'_1\dots D'\dots B'_p} - \Gamma_{aD'}{}^{E'} f^{A'_1\dots D'\dots A'_l}_{B_1\dots B_q B'_1\dots E'\dots B'_p} = 0.$$

We will use the notation

$$\nabla_{AA'} := \nabla_{Z_{AA'}} = \nabla_{X_a} + \mathbf{i}\nabla_{X_b}$$

for $Z_{AA'} := X_a + \mathbf{i}X_b$. We also write $\nabla_{AA'}$ as $\nabla_{A'A}$ when it is more convenient. Then

$$\nabla_{AA'} e_B = \Gamma_{AA'B}{}^C e_C, \qquad \nabla_{AA'} e_{B'} = \Gamma_{AA'B'}{}^{C'} e_{C'}, \tag{3.8}$$

where $\Gamma_{AA'B}{}^C = \Gamma_{aB}{}^C + \mathbf{i}\Gamma_{bB}{}^C$ and $\Gamma_{AA'B'}{}^{C'} = \Gamma_{aB'}{}^{C'} + \mathbf{i}\Gamma_{bB'}{}^{C'}$. The formula (3.7) holds for $a = AA'$.

3.2. **The curvature tensors.** Denote

$$2\nabla_{[a}\nabla_{b]} := \nabla_a\nabla_b - \nabla_b\nabla_a.$$

The *torsion* is defined as $2\nabla_{[a}\nabla_{b]}\phi = T_{ab}{}^c\nabla_c\phi$ for any scalar function ϕ. Then by definition $T_{ab}{}^c = -\Gamma_{ab}{}^c + \Gamma_{ba}{}^c + C_{ab}{}^c$ where the numbers $C_{ab}{}^c$ are given by $[X_a, X_b] = C_{ab}{}^c X_c$. It is direct to check that for a scalar function ϕ,

$$(\nabla_a\nabla_b - \nabla_b\nabla_a)(\phi f_A) = T_{ab}{}^c\nabla_c\phi f_A + \phi(\nabla_a\nabla_b - \nabla_b\nabla_a)f_A$$

by the formula (3.7) of covariant derivatives. So when the connection is torsion-free, the tuple $\{2\nabla_{[a}\nabla_{b]}f_A\}$ as a section of $\Lambda^2(TM)^* \otimes E^*$ is a linear combination of $\{f_A\}$ pointwisely (similarly for $\{2\nabla_{[a}\nabla_{b]}f_{A'}\}$). We write

$$2\nabla_{[a}\nabla_{b]}f_A := -R_{abA}{}^D f_D, \qquad 2\nabla_{[a}\nabla_{b]}f_{A'} = -R_{abA'}{}^{D'} f_{D'}.$$

By (3.7), we see that

$$\nabla_{[a}\nabla_{b]}(f_{\mathscr{A}} h_{\mathscr{B}}) = \nabla_{[a}\nabla_{b]}f_{\mathscr{A}} \cdot h_{\mathscr{B}} + f_{\mathscr{A}} \cdot \nabla_{[a}\nabla_{b]}h_{\mathscr{B}}.$$

In general, we have the *generalized Ricci identity*:

$$2\nabla_{[a}\nabla_{b]}f^{A'_1\dots A'_l}_{B_1\dots B_q B'_1\dots B'_p} := R_{abD'}{}^{A'_j} f^{\dots D'\dots}_{B_1\dots B_q B'_1\dots B'_p} - R_{abB_j}{}^{D} f^{A'_1\dots A'_l}_{\dots D\dots B'_1\dots B'_p} - R_{abB'_j}{}^{D'} f^{A'_1\dots A'_l}_{B_1\dots B_q\dots D'\dots}. \tag{3.9}$$

See Penrose-Rindler [24] [25] and Bailey-Eastwood [5].

If the manifold is unimodular quaternionic, the connection on E preserves the $2n$-form ϵ (2.26), since

$$\nabla_a \epsilon_{A_1\dots A_{2n}} = \Gamma_{aA_j}{}^{D}\epsilon_{A_1\dots D\dots A_{2n}} = \Gamma_{aD}{}^{D}\epsilon_{A_1\dots A_{2n}} = 0 \tag{3.10}$$

where $\epsilon_{A_1,\dots,A_{2n}}$ is nonzero only if $(A_1\dots A_{2n})$ is a permutation of $(0,\dots,2n-1)$ and $(\Gamma_{aA}{}^{D})$ is a $\mathfrak{sl}(2n,\mathbb{C})$-valued 1-form by Proposition 3.1. Similarly, the symplectic form $\varepsilon_{A'B'}$ on H is also preserved by the connection on H, i.e.

$$\nabla_a \varepsilon_{A'B'} = 0. \tag{3.11}$$

When the manifold is quaternionic Kähler, ∇ is a connection of $\mathbb{C}TM = H\otimes E$ compatible with metric

$$g_{ab} = g(Z_{AA'}, Z_{BB'}) = \varepsilon_{AB}\varepsilon_{A'B'}, \qquad a = AA', \quad b = BB', \tag{3.12}$$

for two-component local quaternionic frame $Z_{AA'}$ in (2.16) if we choose local quaternionic frame $\{X_a\}$ in (2.16) orthonormal.

Remark 3.1. *The notion of unimodular quaternionic structure is a real version of the notion of torsion-free QCF-structure introduced by Bailey and Eastwood* [5] *for complex manifolds. A quaternionic conformal structure (briefly QCF) on a $4n$-dimensional complex quaternionic manifold $\widetilde{M}$ is given by an isomorphism between $T\widetilde{M}$ and $\widetilde{E}\otimes\widetilde{H}$ and a fixed isomorphism between $\Lambda^{2n}\widetilde{E}^*$ and $\Lambda^2\widetilde{H}^*$. Given a symplectic form $\widetilde{\varepsilon}$ in $\Lambda^2\widetilde{H}^*$, the isomorphism induces a $2n$-form $\widetilde{\epsilon}$ in $\Lambda^{2n}\widetilde{E}^*$, and there exists a unique connection ∇ such that $\nabla\widetilde{\epsilon}=0$ and $\nabla\widetilde{\varepsilon}=0$ (cf. theorem 2.4 in Bailey-Eastwood* [5]*). The QCF-structure is called torsion-free if the induced connection on the holomorphic tangent bundle is torsion-free.*

The curvature of the complexified tangent bundle is

$$R_{AA'BB'CC'}{}^{DD'} f^{CC'} = (\nabla_{AA'}\nabla_{BB'} - \nabla_{BB'}\nabla_{AA'})f^{DD'}$$

if we identify $(f^{DD'})$ with a local section of $\mathbb{C}TM$. By the generalized Ricci identity, we see that the curvature has the decomposition:

$$R_{AA'BB'CC'}{}^{DD'} = R_{AA'BB'C}{}^{D}\delta_{C'}{}^{D'} + R_{AA'BB'C'}{}^{D'}\delta_{C}{}^{D}. \tag{3.13}$$

We will use the notations: for $a = AA', b = BB'$,

$$R_{A'B'ABC}{}^{D} := R_{AA'BB'C}{}^{D} = R_{abC}{}^{D}, \qquad R_{ABA'B'C'}{}^{D'} := R_{AA'BB'C'}{}^{D'} = R_{abC'}{}^{D'}.$$

Corollary 3.1. *on a unimodular quaternionic manifold, we have*

$$R_{A'B'ABC}{}^{C} = 0, \qquad R_{ABA'B'C'}{}^{C'} = 0. \tag{3.14}$$

Proof. $(\nabla_{AA'}\nabla_{BB'} - \nabla_{BB'}\nabla_{AA'})\epsilon_{A_1\dots A_{2n}} = 0$ by (3.10), which implies that

$$R_{A'B'ABA_j}{}^{D}\epsilon_{A_1\dots D\dots A_{2n}} = 0$$

by the generalized Ricci identity again. Noting that $\epsilon_{A_1,\dots,A_{2n}}$ is nonzero only if $(A_1\dots A_{2n})$ is a permutation of $(0,\dots,2n-1)$, we find the vanishing of the first trace. It is similar for the second one. □

We will use symmetrisation and antisymmetrisation of indices

$$f_{\cdots(A_1\ldots A_k)\cdots} := \frac{1}{k!}\sum_{\sigma\in S_k} f_{\cdots A_{\sigma(1)}\ldots A_{\sigma(k)}\cdots}, \qquad f_{\cdots[A_1\ldots A_k]\cdots} := \frac{1}{k!}\sum_{\sigma\in S_k} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma) f_{\cdots A_{\sigma(1)}\ldots A_{\sigma(k)}\cdots},$$

where $\mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)$ is the sign of the permutation σ. It is obvious that

$$f_{\cdots[A_1\ldots A_k]\cdots} = f_{\cdots[[A_1\ldots A_p]\ldots A_k]\cdots}, \tag{3.15}$$

by definition of antisymmetrisation. We will also us the notation

$$f_{\cdots[A_1\ldots|\mathscr{A}|\ldots A_k]\cdots},$$

which means antisymmetrisation of indices $A_1\ldots A_k$ except for that in $\mathscr{A}$. We will use similar notations for symmetrisation of primed indices.

Proposition 3.2. (Penrose-Rindler [24] [25]) *For a torsion-free connection on the tangent bundle, we have the first Bianchi identity*

$$R_{[abc]}{}^{d} = 0, \tag{3.16}$$

and the second Bianchi identity

$$\nabla_{[a}R_{bc]d}{}^{e} = 0. \tag{3.17}$$

Here $a, b, \ldots = 1, 2, \ldots, 4n$ or are two-component indices $AA', BB', \ldots$.

Proof. (3.16) follows from

$$R_{[abc]}{}^{d}\nabla_d f = 2\nabla_{[[a}\nabla_{b]}\nabla_{c]}f = 2\nabla_{[a}\nabla_{[b}\nabla_{c]]}f = \nabla_{[a}T_{bc]}{}^{d}\nabla_d f = 0$$

by the torsion-free condition. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} 2\nabla_{[a}\nabla_{[b}\nabla_{c]]}f_d &= -\nabla_{[a}(R_{bc]d}{}^{e}f_e) = -\nabla_{[a}R_{bc]d}{}^{e}f_e - R_{[bc|d|}{}^{e}\nabla_{a]}f_e, \\ 2\nabla_{[a}\nabla_{[b}\nabla_{c]]}f_d &= \nabla_{[[a}\nabla_{b]}\nabla_{c]}f_d = -R_{[abc]}{}^{e}\nabla_e f_d - R_{[ab|d|}{}^{e}\nabla_{c]}f_e. \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

The identities (3.18) together with (3.16) imply (3.17). □

3.3. **The curvature decomposition.**

Proposition 3.3. *For a unimodular quaternionic manifold with dimension > 4, the curvatures decompose as*

$$\begin{aligned} R_{[A'B']ABC}{}^{D} &= \varepsilon_{A'B'}\left(\Psi_{ABC}{}^{D} + 2\delta_{(A}{}^{D}\Lambda_{B)C}\right), \\ R_{(A'B')ABC}{}^{D} &= 2\delta_{[A}{}^{D}\Phi_{B]CA'B'}, \\ R_{(AB)A'B'C'}{}^{D'} &= 2\delta_{[A'}{}^{D'}\Phi_{B']C'AB}, \\ R_{[AB]A'B'C'}{}^{D'} &= 2\Lambda_{AB}\delta_{(A'}{}^{D'}\varepsilon_{B')C'}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{A'B'}\Lambda_{AB} &:= \frac{1}{3}R_{[AB]C'[A'B']}{}^{C'}, \\ \Phi_{ABA'B'} &:= R_{(AB)C'(A'B')}{}^{C'}, \\ \varepsilon_{A'B'}\Psi_{ABC}{}^{D} &:= R_{[A'B']ABC}{}^{D} - 2\varepsilon_{A'B'}\delta_{(A}{}^{D}\Lambda_{B)C}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

and $\Phi_{A'B'AB} := \Phi_{ABA'B'}$*, satisfying*

$$\Lambda_{AB} = \Lambda_{[AB]}, \qquad \Phi_{ABA'B'} = \Phi_{(AB)(A'B')}, \qquad \Psi_{ABC}{}^{D} = \Psi_{(ABC)}{}^{D} \tag{3.21}$$

and $\Psi_{ABC}{}^{D}$ *totally trace free:* $\Psi_{ABC}{}^{A} = \Psi_{ABC}{}^{B} = \Psi_{ABC}{}^{C} = 0$.

When the manifold is 4-dimensional, (3.19) holds except for the last identity replaced by

$$R_{[AB]A'B'C'}{}^{D'} = \epsilon_{AB}\Psi'_{A'B'C'}{}^{D'} + 2\Lambda_{AB}\delta_{(A'}{}^{D'}\varepsilon_{B')C'}, \tag{3.22}$$

with $\Psi'_{A'B'C'}{}^{D'} = \Psi'_{(A'B'C')}{}^{D'}$ *also totally trace free. (3.22) can viewed as the definition of* Ψ'.

Remark 3.2. *(1)* Λ_{AB} *in (3.20) is uniquely determined as* $\frac{1}{6}R_{[AB]C'[A'B']}{}^{C'}\varepsilon^{B'A'}$ *by* $\varepsilon_{A'B'}\varepsilon^{B'A'} = 2$*. So is* $\Psi_{ABC}{}^{D}$.

(2) See Penrose and Rindler's book [24] *§4.6 for this curvature decomposition for 4-dimensional manifolds. It is generalized to torsion-free QCFs by Bailey and Eastwood (cf. p.83 in* [5]*) with a sketched proof. We will give a proof in the Appendix by only using the first Bianchi identity.*

(3) In 4-dimensional case, we will only consider right conformally flat manifolds later, i.e. $\Psi'_{A'B'C'}{}^{D'} = 0$ *(cf. section 6.9 of* [25] *for this concept and its necessity for massless field equations).*

Lemma 3.1. (Lemma A.1 in [5]) *If the manifold is unimodular quaternionic, then we have* $\nabla^{A'}_{[A}\Lambda_{BC]} = 0$.

Proof. By the second Bianchi identity (3.17) for $a = AA'$, $b = BA'$, $c = CA'$ and $d = DD'$, $e = DA'$, and taking summation over repeated indices, we find that

$$\nabla_{A'[A}R_{|A'A'|BC]D}{}^{D}\delta_{D'}{}^{A'} + \nabla_{A'[A}R_{BC]A'A'D'}{}^{A'}\delta_{D}{}^{D} = 0.$$

The first term vanishes as a trace by (3.14), while the second term is $2\nabla_{A'[A}\Lambda_{BC]}\varepsilon_{A'D'}\delta_{D}{}^{D}$ by the last identity of (3.19) and (3.22) for the 4-dimensional case. The lemma is proved. □

Proposition 3.4. (theorem 7.8 in [15]) *For a quaternionic Kähler manifold, we have*

$$\Phi_{ABA'B'} = 0, \qquad \Lambda_{AB} = \Lambda\epsilon_{AB}, \qquad \Lambda = \frac{s_g}{8n(n+2)}, \tag{3.23}$$

where s_g *is the scalar curvature. Namely, it is Einstein.*

Proof. Note that $\nabla_{BB'}\epsilon_{CD} = 0$ implies $R_{(A'B')ABC}{}^{E}\epsilon_{ED} + R_{(A'B')ABD}{}^{E}\epsilon_{CE} = 0$, or equivalently

$$-\epsilon_{D[A}\Phi_{B]CA'B'} + \epsilon_{C[A}\Phi_{B]DA'B'} = 0.$$

by Proposition 3.3. If we antisymmetrise $[DAB]$, we get $\epsilon_{[DA}\Phi_{B]CA'B'} = 0$, which can hold only if $\Phi_{ABA'B'} = 0$.

The Ricci curvature of the connection on tangent bundle is given by

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ac} = R_{abcd}g^{bd} &= R_{AA'BB'CC'DD'}\epsilon^{BD}\varepsilon^{B'D'} = R_{AA'BB'CC'}{}^{BB'} \\ &= R_{A'B'ABC}{}^{B}\delta_{C'}{}^{B'} + R_{ABA'B'C'}{}^{B'}\delta_{C}{}^{B} = 2(n+2)\Lambda_{AC}\varepsilon_{A'C'}, \end{aligned}$$

by the curvature decomposition in Proposition 3.3, if we choose the local orthonormal quaternionic frame $Z_{AA'}$ so that the metric is g_{ab} in (3.12). Then

$$s_g = R_{ac}g^{ac} = 2(n+2)\Lambda_{AC}\varepsilon_{A'C'}\epsilon^{AC}\varepsilon^{A'C'} = 8n(n+2)\Lambda.$$

It remains to show $\Lambda_{AB} = \Lambda\epsilon_{AB}$. Recall that for any given section ϕ_{AB} in $\Gamma(\Lambda^2 E^*)$ satisfying $\nabla_a\phi_{AB} = 0$, we must have $\phi_{AB} = \alpha\Lambda_{AB}$ for some constant α when the tensor $\Lambda_{AB} \neq 0$ (this is lemma 7.7 of [5]). This is because $\nabla_a\phi_{CD} = 0$ implies $R_{[A'B']ABC}{}^E\phi_{ED} + R_{[A'B']ABD}{}^E\phi_{CE} = 0$, i.e.

$$\Psi_{ABC}{}^E\phi_{ED} + \Psi_{ABD}{}^E\phi_{CE} - 2\Lambda_{C(A}\phi_{B)D} + 2\Lambda_{D(A}\phi_{B)C} = 0 \tag{3.24}$$

by the curvatures decomposition in Proposition 3.3. Symmetrising (ABC) followed by antisymmetrising $[CD]$ kills the Λ terms, but simply multiplies the Ψ terms by $\frac{2}{3}$. Thus $-2\Lambda_{C(A}\phi_{B)D} + 2\Lambda_{D(A}\phi_{B)C} = 0$, which is essentially just the wedge product of two exterior forms. It is easy to see that it vanishes if and only if ϕ_{AB} is a multiple of Λ_{AB}. □

4. The quaternionic complexes

4.1. **The k-Cauchy-Fueter complexes.** Recall that an element of the *symmetric power* $\odot^p H^*$ is given by a tuple $(f_{A'_1\dots A'_p})$ which, as an element of $\otimes^p H^*$, is invariant under the permutation of subscripts $A'_1, \dots, A'_p = 0', 1'$. An element of the exterior power $\Lambda^q E^*$ is given by a tuple $(f_{A_1\dots A_q})$ which, as an element of $\otimes^p E^*$, is antisymmetric under the permutation of subscripts $A_1, \dots, A_q = 0, \dots, 2n-1$.

The covariant derivative defines a differential operator $\nabla : \Gamma(\mathfrak{T}_{q,p}) \to \Gamma(\mathfrak{T}_{q+1,p+1})$ given by

$$(\nabla f)_{A_0\dots A_q A'_0\dots A'_p} = \nabla_{A_0A'_0} f_{A_1\dots A_q A'_1\dots A'_p}, \tag{4.1}$$

In particular, for $f \in \Gamma(\otimes^q E^* \otimes \odot^p H^*)$, we see that $(\nabla f)_{A_0\dots A_q A'_0A'_1\dots A'_p}$ is still symmetric in $A'_1, \dots, A'_p$ by the formula (3.7) of covariant derivatives. Similarly, $(\nabla f)_{A_0A_1\dots A_q A'_0\dots A'_p}$ is still antisymmetric in $A_1, \dots, A_p$. We need its antisymmetrisation $\widehat{\nabla} : \Gamma(\mathfrak{T}_{q,p}) \to \Gamma(\Lambda^{q+1}E^* \otimes (\otimes^{p+1}H^*))$ given by

$$(\widehat{\nabla} f)_{A_0\dots A_q A'_0\dots A'_p} = \nabla_{A'_0[A_0} f_{A_1\dots A_q]A'_1\dots A'_p}. \tag{4.2}$$

Let us consider operators a little bit more general than those appearing in the k-Cauchy-Fueter complexes (1.5): $\mathscr{D}_{q,p} : \Gamma(\Lambda^q E^* \otimes \odot^p H^*) \longrightarrow \Gamma(\Lambda^{q+1}E^* \otimes \odot^{p-1}H^*)$ given by

$$(\mathscr{D}_{q,p} f)_{A_1\dots A_{q+1}A'_2\dots A'_p} = \nabla^{A'_1}_{[A_1} f_{A_2\dots A_{q+1}]A'_1A'_2\dots A'_p},$$

for a local section f in $\Gamma(\Lambda^q E^* \otimes \odot^p H^*)$ (it is a well defined since contraction over A'_1 is well defined), and $\mathscr{D}^p_q : \Gamma(\Lambda^q E^* \otimes \odot^p H) \longrightarrow \Gamma(\Lambda^{q+1}E^* \otimes \odot^{p+1}H)$ given by

$$(\mathscr{D}^p_q f)^{A'_1\dots A'_{p+1}}_{A_1\dots A_{q+1}} = \nabla^{(A'_1}_{[A_1} f^{A'_2\dots A'_{p+1})}_{A_2\dots A_{q+1}]},$$

for a local section f in $\Gamma(\Lambda^q E^* \otimes \odot^p H^*)$. The *Baston operator* $\triangle : \Gamma(\Lambda^k E^*) \longrightarrow \Gamma(\Lambda^{k+2}E^*)$ is given by

$$(\triangle f)_{A_1\cdots A_{k+2}} := \nabla_{A'[A_1}\nabla^{A'}_{A_2} f_{A_3\cdots A_{k+2}]} + 2\Lambda_{[A_1A_2} f_{A_3\cdots A_{k+2}]}. \tag{4.3}$$

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that the manifold M is unimodular quaternionic and is right conformally flat if $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M = 4$. For $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, the sequences (1.5) are elliptic differential complexes, where the operators $D^{(k)}_j = \mathscr{D}_{j,k-j}$ for $j = 0, \dots, k-1$, the operators $D^{(k)}_j = \mathscr{D}^{j-k-1}_{j+1}$ for $j = k+1, \dots, 2n-2$, and the operator $D^{(k)}_k$ is the Baston operator $\triangle$.*

Note that by using $\varepsilon^{A'B'}$ (3.11) to raise primed indices, we have the following commutators

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla^{A'}_A\nabla^{B'}_B - \nabla^{B'}_B\nabla^{A'}_A) f_{C'} &= (\nabla_{A\widetilde{A}'}\nabla_{B\widetilde{B}'} - \nabla_{B\widetilde{B}'}\nabla_{A\widetilde{A}'}) f_{C'}\varepsilon^{\widetilde{A}'A'}\varepsilon^{\widetilde{B}'B'} \\ &= -R_{AB\widetilde{A}'\widetilde{B}'C'}{}^{D'} f_{D'}\varepsilon^{\widetilde{A}'A'}\varepsilon^{\widetilde{B}'B'} = -R_{AB}{}^{A'B'}{}_{C'}{}^{D'} f_{D'}, \\ (\nabla^{A'}_A\nabla^{B'}_B - \nabla^{B'}_B\nabla^{A'}_A) f_C &= -R^{A'B'}{}_{ABC}{}^D f_D,\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

where $R^{A'B'}{}_{ABC}{}^{D} := R_{\widetilde{A}'\widetilde{B}'ABC}{}^{D}\varepsilon^{\widetilde{A}'A'}\varepsilon^{\widetilde{B}'B'}$ by raising indices. We can move $\varepsilon^{\widetilde{A}'A'}$ to the left since the connection ∇ preserves it. The following formulae for commutators $\nabla^{(A'}_{[A}\nabla^{B')}_{B]}$ as curvatures are important in the proof of Theorem 4.1:

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^{(A'_1}_{[A_1}\nabla^{A'_2)}_{A_2]}h_{C'} &= \frac{1}{4}\left(\nabla^{A'_1}_{A_1}\nabla^{A'_2}_{A_2} - \nabla^{A'_2}_{A_2}\nabla^{A'_1}_{A_1} - \nabla^{A'_1}_{A_2}\nabla^{A'_2}_{A_1} + \nabla^{A'_2}_{A_1}\nabla^{A'_1}_{A_2}\right)h_{C'} = -\frac{1}{2}R_{[A_1A_2]}{}^{A'_1A'_2}{}_{C'}{}^{D'}h_{D'},\\ \nabla^{(A'_1}_{[A_1}\nabla^{A'_2)}_{A_2]}h_{C} &= -\frac{1}{2}R^{(A'_1A'_2)}{}_{A_1A_2C}{}^{D}h_{D}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

by using (4.4). We will also frequently use the following corollary of Proposition 3.3 .

Corollary 4.1. *On a unimodular quaternionic manifold (right conformally flat if it is 4-dimensional), we have*

$$R_{[A_1A_2]}{}^{A'B'}{}_{C'}{}^{D'} = 2\Lambda_{A_1A_2}\delta_{C'}{}^{(A'}\varepsilon^{B')D'}, \tag{4.6}$$

in particular,

$$R_{[A_1A_2]}{}^{(A'B'}{}_{C'}{}^{D')} = 0, \tag{4.7}$$

and

$$R_{A'_1A'_2[ABC]}{}^{D} = 0, \qquad \text{and} \qquad R^{(A'B')}{}_{ABC}{}^{D} = 2\delta_{[A}{}^{D}\Phi_{B]C}{}^{(A'B')}. \tag{4.8}$$

Proof. (4.6) follows from

$$R_{[A_1A_2]}{}^{A'B'}{}_{C'}{}^{D'} = R_{[A_1A_2]\widetilde{A}'\widetilde{B}'C'}{}^{D'}\varepsilon^{\widetilde{A}'A'}\varepsilon^{\widetilde{B}'B'} = 2\Lambda_{A_1A_2}\delta_{(\widetilde{A}'}{}^{D'}\varepsilon_{\widetilde{B}')C'}\varepsilon^{\widetilde{A}'A'}\varepsilon^{\widetilde{B}'B'} = 2\Lambda_{A_1A_2}\delta_{C'}{}^{(A'}\varepsilon^{B')D'},$$

by the last identity in (3.19) and $\varepsilon^{D'A'}$ antisymmetric.

The first identity in (4.8) follows from antisymmetrising (ABC) in

$$R_{A'_1A'_2ABC}{}^{D} = \varepsilon_{A'_1A'_2}\left(\Psi_{ABC}{}^{D} + 2\delta_{(A}{}^{D}\Lambda_{B)C}\right) + 2\delta_{[A}{}^{D}\Phi_{B]CA'_1A'_2}, \tag{4.9}$$

by using (3.19) and symmetry (3.21) of Φ and Ψ in subscripts. For the second identity, we have

$$R^{(A'B')}{}_{ABC}{}^{D} = -R_{A'_1A'_2ABC}{}^{D}\varepsilon^{A'_1(A'}\varepsilon^{B')A'_2} = -2\delta_{[A}{}^{D}\Phi_{B]CA'_1A'_2}\varepsilon^{A'_1(A'}\varepsilon^{B')A'_2} = 2\delta_{[A}{}^{D}\Phi_{B]C}{}^{(A'B')}$$

by using (4.9) and $\varepsilon_{A'_1A'_2}\varepsilon^{A'_1(A'}\varepsilon^{B')A'_2} = -\varepsilon^{(B'A')} = 0$. □

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Case i: $j = 0, \dots, k-2$.

To check $D^{(k)}_{j+1}\circ D^{(k)}_{j} = 0$ for $j \le k-2$, it is sufficient to show $\mathscr{D}_{q+1,p-1}\mathscr{D}_{q,p} = 0$. For a local section f in $\Gamma(\Lambda^q E^*\otimes \odot^p H^*)$, we have

$$(\mathscr{D}_{q+1,p-1}\mathscr{D}_{q,p}f)_{A_1\dots A_{q+2}A'_3\dots A'_p} = \nabla^{A'_1}_{[A_1}\nabla^{A'_2}_{[A_2}f_{A_3\dots A_{q+2}]]A'_2A'_1\dots A'_p} = \nabla^{(A'_1}_{[[A_1}\nabla^{A'_2)}_{A_2]]}f_{A_3\dots A_{q+2}]A'_1A'_2\dots A'_p}. \tag{4.10}$$

by (3.15). We can symmetrise superscripts $(A'_1A'_2)$ since $\nabla_b f_{\dots A'_1A'_2\dots A'_p}$ is symmetric in $A'_1, \dots, A'_p$ as we have mentioned under (4.1), and so is $\nabla_a\nabla_b f_{\dots A'_1A'_2\dots A'_p}$. Apply formula (4.5) for commutators to (4.10) and antisymmetrise unprimed indices to get

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathscr{D}_{q+1,p-1}\mathscr{D}_{q,p}f)_{A_1\dots A_{q+2}A'_3\dots A'_p} =& -\frac{1}{2}R_{[[A_1A_2]}{}^{A'_1A'_2}{}_{|A'_j|}{}^{D'}f_{A_3\dots A_{q+2}]\dots D'\dots}\\ &-\frac{1}{2}R^{(A'_1A'_2)}{}_{[[A_1A_2A_j]}{}^{D}f_{A_3\dots|D|\dots A_{q+2}]A'_1A'_2\dots A'_p},\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

by using (3.15). The second term in the right hand side of (4.11) vanishes by (4.8), while the first term in the right hand side of (4.11) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}&-\frac{3}{2}\left\{\varepsilon^{A_2'D'}\Lambda_{[A_1A_2}f_{A_3\dots A_{q+2}]D'A_2'\dots A_p'}+\varepsilon^{A_1'D'}\Lambda_{[A_1A_2}f_{A_3\dots A_{q+2}]A_1'D'A_3'\dots}\right\}\\&-\frac{1}{2}R_{[A_1A_2}{}^{A_1'A_2'}{}_{|A_j'|}{}^{D'}f_{A_3\dots A_{q+2}]A_1'A_2'\dots D'\dots A_p'}=0\end{aligned}$$

by (4.6)-(4.7), since f is symmetric in primed indices. Consequently, we get $\mathscr{D}_{q+1,p-1}\mathscr{D}_{q,p}f=0$.

Case ii: $j=k+1,\dots,2n-2$.

To check $D^{(k)}_{j+1}\circ D^{(k)}_j=0$ for $j\geq k+1$, it is sufficient to show $D^{p+1}_{q+1}\mathscr{D}^p_q=0$. Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathscr{D}^{p+1}_{q+1}\mathscr{D}^p_qf)^{A_1'\dots A_{p+2}'}_{A_1\dots A_{q+2}}&=\nabla^{(A_1'}_{[A_1}\nabla^{(A_2'}_{[A_2}f^{A_3'\dots A_{p+2}'))}_{A_3\dots A_{q+2}]]}=\nabla^{((A_1'}_{[[A_1}\nabla^{A_2')}_{A_2]}f^{A_3'\dots A_{p+2}')}_{A_3\dots A_{q+2}]}\\&=\frac{1}{2}R_{[A_1A_2}{}^{(A_1'A_2'}{}_{|D'|}{}^{A_j'}f^{A_3'\dots|D'|\dots A_{p+2}')}_{A_3\dots A_{q+2}]}-\frac{1}{2}R^{(A_1'A_2'}{}_{[A_1A_2A_j}{}^{|D|}f^{A_3'\dots A_{p+2}')}_{A_3\dots|D|\dots A_{q+2}]}=0,\end{aligned}\tag{4.12}$$

by using (4.5) and (4.7)-(4.8) again for vanishing of curvatures.

Case iii: $j=k-1$.

Recall that $\Gamma\left(\Lambda^{k-1}E^*\otimes H^*\right)\xrightarrow{\mathscr{D}_{k-1,1}}\Gamma\left(\Lambda^kE^*\right)\xrightarrow{\triangle}\Gamma\left(\Lambda^{k+2}E^*\right)\xrightarrow{\mathscr{D}^0_{k+2}}\Gamma\left(\Lambda^{k+3}E^*\otimes H\right)$. Let us show that for a local section $f\in\Gamma\left(\Lambda^{k-1}E^*\otimes H^*\right)$,

$$(\Delta\mathscr{D}_{k-1,1}f)_{A_1\dots A_{k+2}}=\nabla_{A'[A_1}\nabla^{A'}_{A_2}\nabla^{B'}_{A_3}f_{A_4\dots A_{k+2}]B'}+2\Lambda_{[A_1A_2}\nabla^{B'}_{A_3}f_{A_4\dots A_{k+2}]B'}=0.\tag{4.13}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{A'[A_1}\nabla^{A'}_{A_2}\nabla^{B'}_{A_3}f_{A_4\dots A_{k+2}]B'}=&\nabla_{0'[A_1}\nabla^{0'}_{A_2}\nabla^{0'}_{A_3}f_{A_4\dots A_{k+2}]0'}+\nabla_{1'[A_1}\nabla^{1'}_{A_2}\nabla^{0'}_{A_3}f_{A_4\dots A_{k+2}]0'}\\&+\nabla_{0'[A_1}\nabla^{0'}_{A_2}\nabla^{1'}_{A_3}f_{A_4\dots A_{k+2}]1'}+\nabla_{1'[A_1}\nabla^{1'}_{A_2}\nabla^{1'}_{A_3}f_{A_4\dots A_{k+2}]1'}\\=:&\,\Sigma_1+\Sigma_2+\Sigma_3+\Sigma_4,\end{aligned}\tag{4.14}$$

where both sides are sections of $\mathfrak{T}^2_{k+2,2}$, while the left hand side can be viewed as a section of $\mathfrak{T}^0_{k+2,0}$ after contraction of two primed indices. Locally we choose a coordinate chart U_α with trivialization $E^*|_{U_\alpha}=U_\alpha\times\mathbb{C}^{2n}$, $H^*|_{U_\alpha}=U\times\mathbb{C}^2$, and a two-component local quaternionic frame $\{Z_{AA'}\}$ such that ε and ϵ are standard. In particular, $\varepsilon^{0'1'}=-1$. Since

$$\nabla^{A'}_{[A}\nabla^{A'}_{B]}f_{\dots}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla^{A'}_A\nabla^{A'}_B-\nabla^{A'}_B\nabla^{A'}_A\right)f_{\dots},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_1&=\nabla_{0'[A_1}\nabla^{0'}_{[A_2}\nabla^{0'}_{A_3]}f_{A_4\dots A_{k+2}]0'}\\&=\frac{1}{2}\nabla_{0'[A_1}\left(-R_{[A_2A_3]}{}^{0'0'}{}_{|0'|}{}^{A'}f_{A_4\dots A_{k+2}]A'}-R^{0'0'}{}_{[A_2A_3A_j]}{}^{D}f_{A_4\dots|D|\dots A_{k+2}]0'}\right)\\&=\nabla_{0'[A_1}\left(\Lambda_{A_2A_3}f_{A_4\dots A_{k+2}]1'}\right)=-\Lambda_{[A_2A_3}\nabla^{1'}_{A_1}f_{A_4\dots A_{k+2}]1'},\end{aligned}\tag{4.15}$$

by using (4.5), (4.6), $R^{0'0'}{}_{[A_2A_3A_j]}{}^{D}=0$ by (4.8) and Lemma 3.1. Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_4&=\frac{1}{2}\nabla_{1'[A_1}\left(-R_{[A_2A_3]}{}^{1'1'}{}_{|1'|}{}^{A'}f_{A_4\dots A_{m+2}]A'}-R^{1'1'}{}_{[A_2A_3A_j}{}^{D}f_{A_4\dots|D|\dots A_{k+2}]1'}\right)\\&=\nabla_{1'[A_1}\left(-\Lambda_{A_2A_3}f_{A_4\dots A_{k+2}]0'}\right)=-\Lambda_{[A_2A_3}\nabla^{0'}_{A_1}f_{A_4\dots A_{k+2}]0'}.\end{aligned}$$

To calculate the term Σ_2, applying the trivial identity

$$\nabla^{0'}_A\nabla^{1'}_B f_{\dots} = \left[\nabla^{1'}_B\nabla^{0'}_A + (\nabla^{0'}_A\nabla^{1'}_B - \nabla^{1'}_B\nabla^{0'}_A)\right] f_{\dots} \tag{4.16}$$

and (4.4) for commutators, then antisymmetrising with respect to $A_1, \dots A_{k+2}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_2 =&\nabla^{0'}_{[A_1}\nabla^{1'}_{A_2}\nabla^{0'}_{A_3} f_{A_4\dots A_{k+2}]0'}\\ =&\nabla^{1'}_{[A_2}\nabla^{0'}_{A_1}\nabla^{0'}_{A_3} f_{A_4\dots A_{k+2}]0'} - R^{0'1'}{}_{[A_1A_2A_j}{}^{D}\nabla^{0'}_{A_3} f_{\dots|D|\dots A_{k+2}]0'}\\ &+ R_{[A_1A_2}{}^{0'1'}{}_{|A'|}{}^{0'}\nabla^{A'}_{A_3} f_{A_4\dots A_{k+2}]0'} - R_{[A_1A_2}{}^{0'1'}{}_{|0'|}{}^{A'}\nabla^{0'}_{A_3} f_{A_4\dots A_{k+2}]A'}\\ =&-\nabla^{1'}_{[A_1}\nabla^{0'}_{A_2}\nabla^{0'}_{A_3} f_{A_4\dots A_{k+2}]0'} = \Sigma_1,\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

(here D is in the j-th place of $A_3, \dots A_{k+2}$), since the last two curvature terms cancel by

$$R_{[A_1A_2]}{}^{0'1'}{}_{A'}{}^{0'} = \Lambda_{A_1A_2}\delta_{A'}{}^{0'}\varepsilon^{1'0'} = R_{[A_1A_2]}{}^{0'1'}{}_{0'}{}^{A'}, \tag{4.18}$$

and using (4.6) again. Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_3 =&-\nabla^{1'}_{[A_1}\nabla^{0'}_{A_2}\nabla^{1'}_{A_3} f_{A_4\dots A_{k+2}]1'}\\ =&-\nabla^{0'}_{[A_2}\nabla^{1'}_{A_1}\nabla^{1'}_{A_3} f_{A_4\dots A_{k+2}]1'} + R^{1'0'}{}_{[A_1A_2A_j}{}^{D}\nabla^{1'}_{A_3} f_{A_4\dots|D|\dots A_{k+2}]1'}\\ &- R_{[A_1A_2}{}^{1'0'}{}_{|A'|}{}^{1'}\nabla^{A'}_{A_3} f_{A_4\dots A_{k+2}]1'} + R_{[A_1A_2}{}^{1'0'}{}_{|1'|}{}^{A'}\nabla^{1'}_{A_3} f_{A_4\dots A_{k+2}]A'}\\ =&\nabla^{0'}_{[A_1}\nabla^{1'}_{A_2}\nabla^{1'}_{A_3} f_{A_4\dots A_{m+2}]1'} = \Sigma_4.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we find that $\Sigma_1+\Sigma_2+\Sigma_3+\Sigma_4 = -2\Lambda_{[A_1A_2}\nabla^{A'}_{A_3} f_{A_4\dots A_{k+2}]A'}$. So (4.13) follows.

Case iv: $j = k$. For $B' = 0'$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathscr{D}^0_{k+2}\Delta f)^{B'}_{A_1\dots A_{k+3}} &= \nabla^{B'}_{[A_1}\nabla_{|A'|A_2}\nabla^{A'}_{A_3} f_{A_4\dots A_{k+3}]} + 2\nabla^{B'}_{[A_1}(\Lambda_{A_2A_3} f_{A_4\dots A_{k+3}]})\\ &= \nabla^{0'}_{[A_1}\nabla^{0'}_{A_2}\nabla^{1'}_{A_3} f_{A_4\dots A_{m+2}]} - \nabla^{0'}_{[A_1}\nabla^{1'}_{A_2}\nabla^{0'}_{A_3} f_{A_4\dots A_{k+3}]} + 2\Lambda_{[A_2A_3}\nabla^{0'}_{A_1} f_{A_4\dots A_{k+3}]}\\ &= 2\nabla^{0'}_{[[A_1}\nabla^{0'}_{A_2]}\nabla^{1'}_{A_3} f_{A_4\dots A_{m+2}]} - \nabla^{0'}_{[A_1}R^{1'0'}{}_{A_2A_3A_j}{}^{D} f_{A_4\dots|D|\dots A_{k+3}]} + 2\Lambda_{[A_2A_3}\nabla^{0'}_{A_1} f_{A_4\dots A_{k+3}]}\end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

by raising the index A' and using (4.16) to $\nabla^{1'}_{A_2}\nabla^{0'}_{A_3}$. The second term in the right hand side vanishes by (4.8), while the first term equals to

$$R_{[A_1A_2}{}^{0'0'}{}_{|D'|}{}^{1'}\nabla^{D'}_{A_3} f_{A_4\dots A_{k+2}]} - R^{0'0'}{}_{[A_1A_2A_j}{}^{D}\nabla^{1'}_{A_3} f_{A_4\dots|D|\dots A_{k+2}]} = -2\Lambda_{[A_1A_2}\nabla^{0'}_{A_3} f_{A_4\dots A_{k+2}]},$$

by using Corollary 4.1 again. So $(\mathscr{D}^0_{k+2}\Delta f)^{B'}_{\dots}$ vanishes for $B' = 0'$. It is similar for $B' = 1'$. The ellipiticity will be proved in Subsection 5.1. □

Remark 4.1. *In the flat case (cf. theorem 1.1 in* [20]*), a generalized Penrose integral formula gives all solutions to the k-Cauchy-Fueter equation, which is of infinite dimensional.*

4.2. **Conformal invariance.** Consider conformal transformation

$$\widetilde{\epsilon}_{A_1\dots A_{2n}} := \Omega\epsilon_{A_1\dots A_{2n}}, \qquad \widetilde{\varepsilon}_{A'B'} := \Omega\varepsilon_{A'B'}. \tag{4.20}$$

Fix a two-component local quaternionic frame $\{Z_{AA'}\}$ and denote $\Upsilon_{AA'} := \Omega^{-1}Z_{AA'}\Omega$. We also write $\Upsilon_{A'A} := \Upsilon_{AA'}$ for convenience.

Proposition 4.1. *For a unimodular quaternionic manifold $(M, \mathbb{Q}, vol)$, under the conformal transformation (4.20), define a new connection $\widetilde{\nabla}$ on the bundles E^* and H^* by*

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\nabla}_{AA'} f_B &= \nabla_{AA'} f_B - \Theta_{A'AB}{}^D f_D, \qquad & \Theta_{A'AB}{}^D &= \delta_A{}^D \Upsilon_{BA'}, \\ \widetilde{\nabla}_{AA'} f_{B'} &= \nabla_{AA'} f_{B'} - \Theta_{AA'B'}{}^{D'} f_{D'}, \qquad & \Theta_{AA'B'}{}^{D'} &= \delta_{A'}{}^{D'} \Upsilon_{AB'}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

Then it is a quaternionic connection for the unimodular quaternionic structure $(M, \mathbb{Q}, \Omega^{2n+2} vol)$.

Proof. The new connection (4.21) with respect to the fixed frame $\{Z_{AA'}\}$ (i.e. $\widetilde{\nabla}_{AA'} := \widetilde{\nabla}_{Z_{AA'}}$) is

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_{AA'} f_B = \nabla_{AA'} f_B - \Upsilon_{BA'} f_A, \qquad \widetilde{\nabla}_{AA'} f_{B'} = \nabla_{AA'} f_{B'} - \Upsilon_{AB'} f_{A'}. \tag{4.22}$$

$\widetilde{\nabla}$ preserves the $2n$-form $\widetilde{\epsilon}$ since

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\nabla}_{AA'} \widetilde{\epsilon}_{A_1 \dots A_{2n}} &= Z_{AA'} \Omega \cdot \epsilon_{A_1 \dots A_{2n}} + \Omega \nabla_{AA'} \epsilon_{A_1 \dots A_{2n}} - \Omega \Upsilon_{A_j A'} \epsilon_{A_1 \dots A \dots A_{2n}} \\ &= \Omega \left[\Upsilon_{AA'} - \delta_A{}^{A_j} \Upsilon_{A_j A'} \right] \epsilon_{A_1 \dots A_{2n}} = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

since $\epsilon_{A_1 \dots A_{2n}}$ is nonzero only if $(A_1, \dots, A_{2n})$ is a permutation of $(1, \dots, 2n)$. Similarly, $\widetilde{\nabla}_{AA'} \widetilde{\varepsilon}_{A_1 A_2} = 0$.

$\widetilde{\nabla}$ is also torsion-free since for a function f and $a = AA', b = BB'$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{T}_{ab}{}^c \nabla_c f &= 2 \widetilde{\nabla}_{[a} \widetilde{\nabla}_{b]} f = \widetilde{\nabla}_{AA'} (\nabla_{BB'} f) - \widetilde{\nabla}_{BB'} (\nabla_{AA'} f) \\ &= 2 \nabla_{[a} \nabla_{b]} f - \Upsilon_{BA'} \nabla_{AB'} f - \Upsilon_{AB'} \nabla_{BA'} f + \Upsilon_{AB'} \nabla_{BA'} f + \Upsilon_{BA'} \nabla_{AB'} f = T_{ab}{}^c \nabla_c f = 0 \end{aligned}$$

by $\widetilde{\nabla}$ in (4.22) and ∇ torsion free.

If we choose a new basis $\widetilde{e}^A = \Omega^{\frac{1}{2n}} e^A$ and $\widetilde{e}^{A'} = \Omega^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{A'}$. Then the above results imply that the new connection preserves $\widetilde{e}^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widetilde{e}^{2n}$ and $\widetilde{e}^{1'} \wedge \widetilde{e}^{2'}$. So $\widetilde{\nabla}_{AA'}$ is given by a $\mathfrak{sl}(2n, \mathbb{C})$-connection on E and an $\mathfrak{su}(2)$-connection on H. Hence it gives a connection preserving the almost quaternionic structure $\mathbb{Q}$ on M by Proposition 3.1. It also preserves $\widetilde{vol} := (\wedge_{A=1}^{2n} \widetilde{e}^{A0'}) \wedge (\wedge_{B=1}^{2n} \widetilde{e}^{B1'}) = \Omega^{2n+2} vol$ since we can show $\widetilde{\nabla}_{AA'} \widetilde{vol} = 0$ by the connection $\mathfrak{sl}(2n, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$-valued, where $\widetilde{e}^{AA'} = \widetilde{e}^A \otimes \widetilde{e}^{A'}$. So it is a unimodular quaternionic connection. □

Proposition 4.2. *The curvatures of the unimodular quaternionic connection $\widetilde{\nabla}_{AA'}$ satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega \widetilde{\Lambda}_{AB} &= \Lambda_{AB} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla_{A'[A} \Upsilon_{B]}^{A'} + \Upsilon_{A'[A} \Upsilon_{B]}^{A'} \right), \\ \Omega \widetilde{\Psi}_{ABC}{}^D &= \Psi_{ABC}{}^D, \\ \widetilde{\Phi}_{ABA'B'} &= \Phi_{ABA'B'} - \nabla_{(A|(A'} \Upsilon_{B')|B)} + \Upsilon_{(A|(A'} \Upsilon_{B')|B)}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

Proof. Locally we choose a coordinate chart U_α with trivialization $E^*|_{U_\alpha} = U_\alpha \times \mathbb{C}^{2n}$, $H^*|_{U_\alpha} = U \times \mathbb{C}^2$, and a two-component local quaternionic frame $\{Z_{AA'}\}$ such that ε and ϵ are standard. In particular, $\varepsilon_{0'1'} = \varepsilon^{1'0'} = 1$. By using (4.22) repeatedly, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\nabla}_{AA'} \widetilde{\nabla}_{BB'} f_{C'} =& \widetilde{\nabla}_{AA'} (\nabla_{BB'} f_{C'} - \Upsilon_{BC'} f_{B'}) \\ =& \nabla_{AA'} \nabla_{BB'} f_{C'} - \nabla_{AA'} \Upsilon_{BC'} \cdot f_{B'} - \Upsilon_{BC'} \cdot \nabla_{AA'} f_{B'} \\ & - \Upsilon_{BA'} \nabla_{AB'} f_{C'} - \Upsilon_{AB'} \nabla_{BA'} f_{C'} - \Upsilon_{AC'} \nabla_{BB'} f_{A'} \\ & + \Upsilon_{BA'} \Upsilon_{AC'} f_{B'} + \Upsilon_{AC'} \Upsilon_{BA'} f_{B'} + \Upsilon_{AB'} \Upsilon_{BC'} f_{A'}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that when A and B, A' and B' are exchanged, the sum of the 3rd and 6th terms, the sum of 4th and 5th terms, and the sum of 7th and 9th terms in the right hand side above are all invariant. The

above identity minus the one with A and B, A' and B' exchanged becomes

$$\begin{aligned}-\widetilde{R}_{ABA'B'C'}{}^{D'} = &-R_{ABA'B'C'}{}^{D'} - \nabla_{AA'}\Upsilon_{BC'}\delta_{B'}{}^{D'} + \nabla_{BB'}\Upsilon_{AC'}\delta_{A'}{}^{D'} \\ &+ \Upsilon_{AC'}\Upsilon_{BA'}\delta_{B'}{}^{D'} - \Upsilon_{BC'}\Upsilon_{AB'}\delta_{A'}{}^{D'}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

Note that $\Lambda_{AB} = \frac{1}{3}R_{[AB]C'[0'1']}{}^{C'}, \Omega\widetilde{\Lambda}_{AB} = \frac{1}{3}\widetilde{R}_{[AB]C'[0'1']}{}^{C'}$ by definition of Λ in (3.20) and

$$f_{\dots B'\dots}{}^{B'}{}_{\dots} = f_{\dots 0'\dots 1'\dots} - f_{\dots 1'\dots 0'\dots} = -f_{\dots}{}^{B'}{}_{\dots B'\dots}. \tag{4.26}$$

Antisymmetrise $[AB]$ and $[B'C'] = [0'1']$ and take trace over A'-D' in (4.25) to get the transformation formula for Λ. We have used, for example, $\nabla_{0'[A}\Upsilon_{B]1'} - \nabla_{1'[A}\Upsilon_{B]0'} = \nabla_{A'[A}\Upsilon_{B]}^{A'}$.

By definition of Φ in (3.20), symmetrise $(B'C')$ and (AB) and take trace over A'-D' in (4.25) to get the transformation formula for Φ in (4.24).

Similarly as (4.25) by exchanging primed and unprimed indices, we get

$$-\widetilde{R}_{A'B'ABC}{}^{D} = -R_{A'B'ABC}{}^{D} - \nabla_{AA'}\Upsilon_{CB'}\delta_{B}{}^{D} + \nabla_{BB'}\Upsilon_{CA'}\delta_{A}{}^{D} + \Upsilon_{CA'}\Upsilon_{AB'}\delta_{B}{}^{D} - \Upsilon_{CB'}\Upsilon_{BA'}\delta_{A}{}^{D},$$

from which we get the invariance of Ψ in (4.24) by antisymmetrising $[A'B'] = [0'1']$ and using the transformation formula for Λ and the definition of Ψ in (3.20). □

Proposition 4.3. *The operators associated to the unimodular quaternionic connection $\widetilde{\nabla}_{AA'}$ are conformal covariant in the following sense:*

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}{}^{p}_{q}(\Omega^{-q}f) &= \Omega^{-q-1}\mathscr{D}^{p}_{q}f, \qquad \text{for} \quad f \in \Gamma\left(\Lambda^{q}E^{*}\otimes\odot^{p}H\right), \\ \widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{q,p}(\Omega^{-q-1}f) &= \Omega^{-q-2}\mathscr{D}_{q,p}f, \qquad \text{for} \quad f \in \Gamma\left(\Lambda^{q}E^{*}\otimes\odot^{p}H^{*}\right), \\ \widetilde{\Delta}(\Omega^{-q-1}f) &= \Omega^{-q-2}\Delta f, \qquad \text{for} \quad f \in \Gamma\left(\Lambda^{q}E^{*}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

Proof. The new connection $\widetilde{\nabla}$ in (4.21) induces the covariant derivatives for E and H by duality:

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_{AA'}f^{B'} = \nabla_{AA'}f^{B'} + \Theta_{AA'D'}{}^{B'}f^{D'} = \nabla_{AA'}f^{B'} + \delta_{A'}{}^{B'}\Upsilon_{AD'}f^{D'}. \tag{4.28}$$

If we raise unprimed indices by $\widetilde{\varepsilon}^{A'B'} = \Omega^{-1}\varepsilon^{A'B'}$ (note that $\widetilde{\nabla}\widetilde{\varepsilon}^{A'B'} = 0$), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\nabla}^{A'}_{A}f^{B'} &:= \widetilde{\nabla}_{AC'}f^{B'}\widetilde{\varepsilon}^{C'A'} = \Omega^{-1}\left(\nabla^{A'}_{A}f^{B'} - \varepsilon^{A'B'}\Upsilon_{AD'}f^{D'}\right), \\ \widetilde{\nabla}^{A'}_{A}f_{B} &:= \widetilde{\nabla}_{AC'}f_{B}\widetilde{\varepsilon}^{C'A'} = \Omega^{-1}\left(\nabla^{A'}_{A}f_{B} - \Upsilon^{A'}_{B}f_{A}\right), \\ \widetilde{\nabla}^{A'}_{A}f_{B'} &:= \widetilde{\nabla}_{AC'}f_{B'}\widetilde{\varepsilon}^{C'A'} = \Omega^{-1}\left(\nabla^{A'}_{A}f_{B'} - \Upsilon_{AB'}f^{A'}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

Applying these formulas of covariant derivatives repeatedly, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}^{p}_{q}(\Omega^{-q}f)\right)^{A'_1\dots A'_{p+1}}_{A_1\dots A_{q+1}} =& -q\Omega^{-q-1}\widetilde{\nabla}^{(A'_1}_{[A_1}\Omega\cdot f^{A'_2\dots A'_{p+1})}_{A_2\dots A_{q+1}]} + \Omega^{-q}\widetilde{\nabla}^{(A'_1}_{[A_1}f^{A'_2\dots A'_{p+1})}_{A_2\dots A_{q+1}]} \\ =&\Omega^{-q-1}\left\{-q\Upsilon^{(A'_1}_{[A_1}\cdot f^{A'_2\dots A'_{p+1})}_{A_2\dots A_{q+1}]} + \nabla^{(A'_1}_{[A_1}f^{A'_2\dots A'_{p+1})}_{A_2\dots A_{q+1}]}\right. \\ &\left.-\varepsilon^{(A'_1A'_j}\Upsilon_{AD'}f^{A'_2\dots|D'|\dots A'_{p+1})}_{A_1\dots A_{q+1}]} - \Upsilon^{(A'_1}_{[A_j}f^{A'_2\dots A'_{p+1})}_{A_2\dots A_1\dots A_{q+1}]}\right\}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

Here $\widetilde{\nabla}^{A'_1}_{A_1}\Omega = \widetilde{\nabla}_{A_1B'}\Omega\cdot\widetilde{\varepsilon}^{B'A'_1} = Z_{A_1B'}\Omega\cdot\widetilde{\varepsilon}^{B'A'_1} = \Omega^{-1}Z^{A'_1}_{A_1}\Omega = \Upsilon^{A'_1}_{A_1}$, and the third term in the bracket above vanishes automatically. While for the fourth term in the bracket, we have

$$-\Upsilon^{(A'_1}_{[A_j}f^{A'_2\dots A'_{p+1})}_{A_2\dots A_1\dots A_{q+1}]} = \Upsilon^{(A'_1}_{[A_1}f^{A'_2\dots A'_{p+1})}_{A_2\dots A_j\dots A_{q+1}]}, \qquad j = 2,\dots,q+1, \tag{4.31}$$

and so the first and fourth terms in (4.30) cancel. The first identity in (4.27) follows. Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\widetilde{\mathscr{D}}_{q,p}(\Omega^{-q-1}f)\right)_{A_1\dots A_{q+1}A'_2\dots A'_p} =& -(q+1)\Omega^{-q-2}\Upsilon^{A'}_{[A_1}f_{A_2\dots A_{q+1}]A'A'_2\dots A'_p}+\Omega^{-q-1}\widetilde{\nabla}^{A'}_{[A_1}f_{A_2\dots A_{q+1}]A'A'_2\dots}\\ =&\Omega^{-q-2}\Big\{-(q+1)\Upsilon^{A'}_{[A_1}f_{A_2\dots A_{q+1}]A'A'_2\dots A'_p}+\nabla^{A'}_{[A_1}f_{A_2\dots A_{q+1}]A'A'_2\dots A'_p}\\ &-\Upsilon^{A'}_{[A_j}f_{A_2\dots A_1\dots A_{q+1}]A'A'_2\dots A'_p}-\Upsilon_{A'[A_1}f_{A_2\dots A_{q+1}]}{}^{A'}{}_{A'_2\dots\dots A'_p}\\ &-\Upsilon_{A'_j[A_1}f_{A_2\dots A_{q+1}]A'A'_2\dots}{}^{A'}{}_{\dots A'_p}\Big\}.\end{aligned}$$

The last term in the bracket above vanishes by (4.26) and f symmetric in primed indices. We also use (4.26) to raise and lower A' in the 4th term. The result follows from an identity similar to (4.31) that the sum of the third and 4th terms in the bracket cancels the first term. We get the second identity in (4.27). At last

$$\begin{aligned}&\widetilde{\nabla}_{A'[A_1}\widetilde{\nabla}^{A'}_{A_2}(\Omega^{-q-1}f)_{A_3\dots A_{q+2}]}\\ &=\widetilde{\nabla}_{A'[A_1}\left\{\Omega^{-q-2}\left(-(q+1)\Upsilon^{A'}_{[A_2}f_{A_3\dots A_{q+2}]]}+\nabla^{A'}_{[A_2}f_{A_3\dots A_{q+2}]]}-\Upsilon^{A'}_{[A_m}f_{A_3\dots A_2\dots A_{q+2}]]}\right)\right\}\\ &=\widetilde{\nabla}_{A'[A_1}\left\{\Omega^{-q-2}\left(-\Upsilon^{A'}_{A_2}+\nabla^{A'}_{A_2}\right)f_{A_3\dots A_{q+2}]}\right\}\\ &=\Omega^{-q-2}\left((q+2)\Upsilon_{A'[A_1}\Upsilon^{A'}_{A_2}-(q+2)\Upsilon_{A'[A_1}\nabla^{A'}_{A_2}-\nabla_{A'[A_1}\Upsilon^{A'}_{A_2}-\Upsilon^{A'}_{[A_2}\nabla_{|A'|A_1}+\nabla_{A'[A_1}\nabla^{A'}_{A_2}\right)f_{A_3\dots A_{q+2}]}\\ &+\Omega^{-q-2}\left(\Upsilon_{A'[A_j}\Upsilon^{A'}_{A_2}-\Upsilon_{A'[A_j}\nabla^{A'}_{A_2}\right)f_{\dots A_1\dots A_{q+2}]}+\delta_{A'}{}^{A'}\Omega^{-q-2}\left(-\Upsilon_{D'[A_1}\Upsilon^{D'}_{A_2}+\Upsilon_{D'[A_1}\nabla^{D'}_{A_2}\right)f_{A_3\dots A_{q+2}]}\end{aligned}$$

where $m=3,\dots,q+2$, $j=2,\dots,q+2$. Here we use the identity as (4.31) in the second identity. Note that terms of the form $\Upsilon_{A'[A_1}\nabla^{A'}_{A_2}f_{\dots]}$ cancel each other by $-\Upsilon^{A'}_{[A_2}\nabla_{|A'|A_1]}=-\Upsilon_{A'[A_1}\nabla^{A'}_{A_2]}$ by using (4.26) to raise and lower primed indices, and only one of terms of the form $-\Upsilon_{A'[A_1}\Upsilon^{A'}_{A_2}f_{\dots]}$ remains. So

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\nabla}_{A'[A_1}\widetilde{\nabla}^{A'}_{A_2}(\Omega^{-q-1}f)_{A_3\dots A_{q+2}]} =&\Omega^{-q-2}\left(-\Upsilon_{A'[A_1}\Upsilon^{A'}_{A_2}-\nabla_{A'[A_1}\Upsilon^{A'}_{A_2}+\nabla_{A'[A_1}\nabla^{A'}_{A_2}\right)f_{A_3\dots A_{q+2}]}\\ =&\Omega^{-q-2}\left(-2\Omega\widetilde{\Lambda}_{[A_1A_2}+2\Lambda_{[A_1A_2}+\nabla_{A'[A_1}\nabla^{A'}_{A_2}\right)f_{A_3\dots A_{q+2}]},\end{aligned}$$

by conformal transformation formula for Λ in (4.24). We get the last identity in (4.27). □

Corollary 4.2. *On a unimodular quaternionic manifold M (right conformally flat if $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}M=4$), the cohomology group $H^j_{(k)}(M)$ is invariant under conformal transformations.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.3, we see that if $j<k$, $f\in\ker D^{(k)}_j$ if and only if $\Omega^{-j-1}f\in\ker\widetilde{D}^{(k)}_j$, and $f=D^{(k)}_j g$ if and only if $\Omega^{-j-1}f=\widetilde{D}^{(k)}_{j-1}(\Omega^{-j}g)$. Hence $\ker\widetilde{D}^{(k)}_j/\mathrm{Im}\widetilde{D}^{(k)}_j\cong\ker D^{(k)}_j/\mathrm{Im}D^{(k)}_j$. The results for other j hold similarly. □

Remark 4.2. *(1) See Penrose and Rindler* [24] *§5.6-5.7 for conformal transformation of spin $k/2$ massless field operator over 4-dimensional manifolds. The conformal change of connection (corresponding to our Proposition 4.1) for QCFs was introduced by Bailey and Eastwood (cf. section 2.2 of* [5]*).*

(2) The conformal change of a quaternionic Kähler manifold is usually not quaternionic Kähler [23].

5. Analysis of the complexes

5.1. **The ellipticity of the k-Cauchy-Fueter complex.** Locally for a matrix-valued differential operator $L=\sum_{j=0}^m\sum_{|\nu|=j}A_\nu\partial_{x_{\nu_1}}\cdots\partial_{x_{\nu_j}}$ of order m on a N-dimensional manifold M, its *symbol* at point

p is

$$\sigma(L)(\xi) = \sum_{|\nu|=m} A_\nu(p)\mathbf{i}\xi_{\nu_1}\cdots\mathbf{i}\xi_{\nu_j}, \qquad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

L is called *elliptic* if $\sigma(L)(\xi)$ invertible for any $0 \neq \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$. A differential complex is called *elliptic* if the associated symbol sequence is exact at each point p. It is well known that the ellipticity of a differential operator or a differential complex is independent of the choice of the local coordinate charts [40]. For a fixed point $p \in M$, let $\sigma(X_j) = \mathbf{i}\xi_j$ and

$$(\xi_{AA'}) := \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 + \mathbf{i}\xi_2 & -\xi_3 + \mathbf{i}\xi_4 \\ \xi_3 + \mathbf{i}\xi_4 & \xi_1 - \mathbf{i}\xi_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \xi_{4n-3} + \mathbf{i}\xi_{4n-2} & -\xi_{4n-1} + \mathbf{i}\xi_{4n} \\ \xi_{4n-1} + \mathbf{i}\xi_{4n} & \xi_{4n-3} + \mathbf{i}\xi_{4n-2} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.1}$$

In particular, $\sigma(Z_{AA'})(\xi) = \frac{\mathbf{i}}{\sqrt{2}}\xi_{AA'}$ by $Z_{AA'}$ in (2.16). For fixed k, we use notations

$$\sigma_j(\xi) := \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\mathbf{i}}\sigma\left(D_j^{(k)}\right)(\xi), \text{ for } j \neq k, \qquad \text{and} \quad \sigma_k(\xi) := \frac{2}{\mathbf{i}^2}\sigma\left(D_k^{(k)}\right)(\xi).$$

The ellipticity of the complex (1.5) is given by the following exact sequence of the associated symbols, which can be easily proved by using elementary linear algebra.

Proposition 5.1. *For any* $0 \neq \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{4n}$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow \odot^k \mathbb{C}^2 \xrightarrow{\sigma_0(\xi)} \Lambda^1\mathbb{C}^{2n} \otimes \odot^{k-1}\mathbb{C}^2 \xrightarrow{\sigma_1(\xi)} \cdots \longrightarrow \Lambda^k\mathbb{C}^{2n} \xrightarrow{\sigma_k(\xi)} \Lambda^{k+2}\mathbb{C}^{2n} \\ \longrightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{\sigma_{2n-2}(\xi)} \Lambda^{2n}\mathbb{C}^{2n} \otimes \odot^{2n-k-2}\mathbb{C}^2 \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

is exact. Namely $\ker \sigma_0(\xi) = \{0\}$,

$$\ker \sigma_j(\xi) = \operatorname{range} \sigma_{j-1}(\xi) \tag{5.3}$$

for $j = 1, \ldots, 2n-2$, *and* $\sigma_{2n-2}(\xi)$ *is surjective.*

Proof. Case i. $j < k$. We need to show that

$$\Lambda^{j-1}\mathbb{C}^{2n} \otimes \odot^{p+1}\mathbb{C}^2 \xrightarrow{\sigma_{j-1}(\xi)} \Lambda^j\mathbb{C}^{2n} \otimes \odot^p\mathbb{C}^2 \xrightarrow{\sigma_j(\xi)} \Lambda^{j+1}\mathbb{C}^{2n} \otimes \odot^{p-1}\mathbb{C}^2 \tag{5.4}$$

is exact for $p = k - j$, where the linear mapping $\sigma_j(\xi)$ is given by

$$[\sigma_j(\xi)\vartheta]_{A_0\ldots A_j A'_2\ldots A'_p} = \xi^{A'}_{[A_0}\vartheta_{A_1\ldots A_j]A'A'_2\ldots A'_p} = \frac{1}{j+1}\sum_{s=0}^{j}(-1)^s\xi^{A'}_{A_s}\vartheta_{\ldots A_0\ldots A'A'_2\ldots A'_p}, \tag{5.5}$$

for $\vartheta \in \Lambda^j\mathbb{C}^{2n} \otimes \odot^p\mathbb{C}^2$, by definitions of symbols and antisymmetrisation. Then

$$[\sigma_j(\xi) \circ \sigma_{j-1}(\xi)\vartheta]_{A_0\ldots A_j A'_2\ldots A'_p} = \xi^{A'}_{[[A_0}\xi^{B'}_{A_1]}\vartheta_{\ldots A_j]B'A'A'_2\ldots A'_p} = 0$$

by $\xi^{(A'}_{[A_0}\xi^{B')}_{A_1]} = 0$ and ϑ symmetric in the primed indices.

We can choose a transformation $M \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{H})$ such that its complexification matrix $M^{\mathbb{C}} \in \mathrm{GL}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ transforming $\xi := \begin{pmatrix} \xi_{10'} \\ \vdots \\ \xi_{(2n)0'} \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$ to $\widetilde{\xi} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}$. Note that $\begin{pmatrix} \xi_{11'} \\ \vdots \\ \xi_{(2n)1'} \end{pmatrix} = -\underline{J}\begin{pmatrix} \overline{\xi_{10'}} \\ \vdots \\ \overline{\xi_{(2n)0'}} \end{pmatrix}$ by (5.1).

It follows from $M^{\mathbb{C}}\underline{J} = \underline{J}\overline{M^{\mathbb{C}}}$ by (2.8) that

$$(\widetilde{\xi}_{AA'}) = M^{\mathbb{C}}(\xi_{AA'}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.6}$$

Then $\widetilde{\xi}_A^{A'} = (M^{\mathbb{C}})_A{}^B \xi_B^{A'}$ by raising indices. Set $\widetilde{\vartheta}_{A_1\dots A_j A'_1\dots} := \prod_{l=1}^{j}(M^{\mathbb{C}})_{A_l}{}^{B_l} \cdot \vartheta_{B_1\dots B_j A'_1\dots}$. It is direct to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
[\sigma_j(\widetilde{\xi})\widetilde{\vartheta}]_{A_0\dots A_j A'_2\dots A'_p} =& \frac{1}{j+1}\sum_{s=0}^{j}(-1)^s \widetilde{\xi}_{A_s}^{A'} \widetilde{\vartheta}_{A_1\dots A_0\dots A_j A' A'_2\dots A'_p} \\
=& \frac{1}{j+1}\sum_{s=0}^{j}(-1)^s (M^{\mathbb{C}})_{A_s}{}^{B_s} \xi_{B_s}^{A'} \prod_{l\neq s}(M^{\mathbb{C}})_{A_l}{}^{B_l} \vartheta_{B_1\dots B_0\dots B_j A' A'_2\dots A'_p} \\
=& \prod_{l=0}^{j}(M^{\mathbb{C}})_{A_l}{}^{B_l} \cdot [\sigma_j(\xi)\vartheta]_{B_0\dots B_j A' A'_2\dots A'_p} = \widetilde{[\sigma_j(\xi)\vartheta]}_{A_0\dots A_j A'_2\dots A'_p}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

So $\sigma_j(\xi)\vartheta = 0$ if and only if $\sigma_j(\widetilde{\xi})\widetilde{\vartheta} = 0$. Suppose that the exactness is proved for $\widetilde{\xi}$ in (5.6). Then there exists $\widetilde{\kappa} \in \Lambda^{j-1}\mathbb{C}^{2n} \otimes \odot^{p+1}\mathbb{C}^2$ such that $\sigma_{j-1}(\widetilde{\xi})\widetilde{\kappa} = \widetilde{\vartheta}$. It follows that $\sigma_{j-1}(\xi)\kappa = \vartheta$ for $\kappa = (M^{\mathbb{C}})^{-1}\widetilde{\kappa}$ by (5.7). So we only need to check the exactness of (5.4) for ξ given by (5.6). By raising indices, we have

$$\left(\xi_A^{A'}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.8}$$

For $\vartheta \in \Lambda^j\mathbb{C}^{2n} \otimes \odot^p\mathbb{C}^2$, by definition (5.5) of $\sigma_j(\xi)\vartheta$ and $\xi_A^{A'}$ in (5.8), we have (i)

$$[\sigma_j(\xi)\vartheta]_{A_0\dots A_j A'_2\dots A'_p} = 0, \qquad \text{for} \quad 2 \le A_0, \cdots, A_j, \tag{5.9}$$

since $\xi_{A_j}^{A'} = 0$ for such A_j's; (ii) for $2 \le A_1, \cdots, A_j$,

$$\begin{aligned}
[\sigma_j(\xi)\vartheta]_{0A_1\dots A_j A'_2\dots A'_p} &= -\frac{1}{j+1}\vartheta_{A_1\dots A_j 1' A'_2\dots A'_p}, \\
[\sigma_j(\xi)\vartheta]_{1A_1\dots A_j A'_2\dots A'_p} &= \frac{1}{j+1}\vartheta_{A_1\dots A_j 0' A'_2\dots A'_p};
\end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

(iii) for $2 \le A_2, \cdots, A_j$,

$$[\sigma_j(\xi)\vartheta]_{01A_2\dots A_j A'_2\dots A'_p} = -\frac{1}{j+1}(\vartheta_{1A_2\dots A_j 1' A'_2\dots A'_p} + \vartheta_{0A_2\dots A_j 0' A'_2\dots A'_p}). \tag{5.11}$$

Therefore $\vartheta \in \ker\sigma_j(\xi)$ for $j > 0$ if and only if

$$\vartheta_{A_1\dots A_j A'_1 A'_2\dots A'_p} = 0, \qquad \vartheta_{1A_2\dots A_j 1' A'_2\dots A'_p} = -\vartheta_{0A_2\dots A_j 0' A'_2\dots A'_p}, \tag{5.12}$$

for $A'_1, A'_2, \dots, A'_p = 0', 1'$ and any $2 \le A_1 < \cdots < A_j$ (because of antisymmetry, we only need to consider increasing unprimed indices.). While for $j = 0$, $\vartheta \in \ker\sigma_0(\xi)$ if and only if $\vartheta_{A'_1 A'_2\dots A'_p} = 0$. So $\vartheta \in \ker\sigma_0(\xi) = \{0\}$.

To show the surjectivity of $\sigma_{j-1}(\xi)$, we need to find an element $\Theta \in \Lambda^{j-1}\mathbb{C}^{2n} \otimes \odot^{p+1}\mathbb{C}^2$ such that $\sigma_{j-1}(\xi)\Theta = \vartheta$ for ϑ satisfying (5.12). We define such an element Θ by

$$\begin{aligned} &\Theta_{A_2\dots A_j 0'1'A'_2\dots A'_p} := j\vartheta_{1A_2\dots A_j 1'A'_2\dots A'_p} = -j\vartheta_{0A_2\dots A_j 0'A'_2\dots A'_p}, \\ &\Theta_{A_2\dots A_j 1'1'1'\dots 1'} = -j\theta_{0A_2\dots A_j 1'1'\dots 1'}, \qquad \Theta_{A_2\dots A_j 0'0'0'\dots 0'} = j\theta_{0A_2\dots A_j 0'0'\dots 0'}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

for $2 \le A_2, \cdots, A_j$, and

$$\Theta_{1A_3\dots A_j 1'A'_1\dots A'_p} + \Theta_{0A_3\dots A_j 0'A'_1\dots A'_p} = -j\vartheta_{01A_3\dots A_j A'_1A'_2\dots A'_p}, \tag{5.14}$$

and all other kind of entries vanish. Obviously, there exists an element Θ satisfying conditions (5.13)-(5.14), but such Θ is not unique. Then by definition (5.5) for the symbol $\sigma_{j-1}(\xi)$ again, as in (5.9)-(5.11), it is easy to see that for any $2 \le A_1, \cdots, A_j$, we have $[\sigma_{j-1}(\xi)\Theta]_{A_1\dots A_j A'_1A'_2\dots A'_p} = 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} [\sigma_{j-1}(\xi)\Theta]_{0A_2\dots A_j 0'A'_2\dots A'_p} &= -\frac{1}{j}\Theta_{A_2\dots A_j 1'0'A'_2\dots A'_p} = \vartheta_{0A_2\dots A_j 0'A'_2\dots A'_p}, \\ [\sigma_{j-1}(\xi)\Theta]_{1A_2\dots A_j 1'A'_2\dots A'_p} &= \frac{1}{j}\Theta_{A_2\dots A_j 0'1'A'_2\dots A'_p} = \vartheta_{1A_2\dots A_j 1'A'_2\dots A'_p}, \end{aligned}$$

by (5.13), and

$$\begin{aligned} [\sigma_{j-1}(\xi)\Theta]_{0A_2\dots A_j 1'1'\dots 1'} &= -\frac{1}{j}\Theta_{A_2\dots A_j 1'1'1'\dots 1'} = \theta_{0A_2\dots A_j 1'1'\dots 1'}, \\ [\sigma_{j-1}(\xi)\Theta]_{1A_2\dots A_j 0'0'\dots 0'} &= \frac{1}{j}\Theta_{A_2\dots A_j 0'0'0'\dots 0'} = \theta_{0A_2\dots A_j 0'0'\dots 0'}, \end{aligned}$$

by (5.13) again, and

$$[\sigma_{j-1}(\xi)\Theta]_{01A_3\dots A_j A'_1\dots A'_p} = -\frac{1}{j}\left(\Theta_{1A_3\dots A_j 1'A'_1\dots A'_p} + \Theta_{0A_3\dots A_j 0'A'_1\dots A'_p}\right) = \vartheta_{01A_3\dots A_j A'_1A'_2\dots A'_p},$$

by (5.14). Thus $\sigma_{j-1}(\xi)\Theta = \vartheta$, and so the sequence (5.4) is exact.

Case ii. $j = k$. We need to show that

$$\Lambda^{k-1}\mathbb{C}^{2n} \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \xrightarrow{\sigma_{k-1}(\xi)} \Lambda^k\mathbb{C}^{2n} \xrightarrow{\sigma_k(\xi)} \Lambda^{k+2}\mathbb{C}^{2n} \tag{5.15}$$

is exact. For $\vartheta \in \Lambda^k\mathbb{C}^{2n}$, we have

$$[\sigma_k(\xi)\vartheta]_{A_1\dots A_{k+2}} = \xi_{A'[A_1}\xi^{A'}_{A_2}\vartheta_{A_3\dots A_{k+2}]}. \tag{5.16}$$

$\sigma_k(\xi) \circ \sigma_{k-1}(\xi) = 0$ holds as before. Without loss of generality, we can assume ξ is given by (5.6) as in case i. We only need to consider unprimed indices with $A_1 < \cdots < A_{k+2}$. Then for this ξ, we have

$$[\sigma_k(\xi)\vartheta]_{A_1\dots A_{k+2}} = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{(k+2)(k+1)}\vartheta_{A_3\dots A_{k+2}}, & \text{if } A_1 = 0, A_2 = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

by $\xi_{A'[A_1}\xi^{A'}_{A_2]} = 0$ except for $\xi_{A'[0}\xi^{A'}_{1]} = 2$. Therefore $\ker\sigma_k(\xi)$ consists of $\vartheta \in \Lambda^k\mathbb{C}^{2n}$ with $\vartheta_{A_1\dots A_k} = 0$ for $2 \le A_1, \cdots, A_k$. On the other hand, for $\Theta \in \Lambda^{k-1}\mathbb{C}^{2n} \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ and $2 \le A_1, \cdots, A_k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &[\sigma_{k-1}(\xi)\Theta]_{A_1A_2\dots A_k} = 0, \\ &[\sigma_{k-1}(\xi)\Theta]_{0A_2\dots A_k} = -\frac{1}{k}\Theta_{A_2\dots A_k 1'}, \qquad [\sigma_{k-1}(\xi)\Theta]_{1A_2\dots A_k} = \frac{1}{k}\Theta_{A_2\dots A_k 0'}, \\ &[\sigma_{k-1}(\xi)\Theta]_{01A_3\dots A_k} = -\frac{1}{k}\left(\Theta_{1A_3\dots A_k 1'} + \Theta_{0A_3\dots A_k 0'}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence as in the case i, if we set $\Theta_{A_2\dots A_k1'} := -k\vartheta_{0A_2\dots A_k}$, $\Theta_{A_2\dots A_k0'} := k\vartheta_{1A_2\dots A_k}$ and

$$\Theta_{1A_3\dots A_k1'} + \Theta_{0A_3\dots A_k0'} = -k\vartheta_{01A_3\dots A_k},$$

for $A_2, \cdots, A_k \geq 2$, and all other kind of entries vanish, then we get $\sigma_{k-1}(\xi)\Theta = \vartheta$. So (5.15) is exact.

Case iii. $j \geq k+1$. It is similar. We omit details. □

The part $\Gamma(\Lambda^{k+2}E^*) \xrightarrow{D^{(k)}_{k+1}} \cdots\cdots$ is similar to the Salamon's complexes (1.10). It is elliptic as in [27].

5.2. **The Hodge-type decomposition.** Recall that in the complex (1.13)

$$\mathscr{V}^{(k)}_j := \begin{cases} \Lambda^j E^* \otimes \odot^{k-j} H^*, & \text{for} \quad j = 0, \dots, k, \\ \Lambda^{j+1} E^* \otimes \odot^{j-k-1} H, & \text{for} \quad j = k+1, \dots, 2n-1. \end{cases}$$

We choose an Hermitian metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on the complex vector bundles $\mathscr{V}^{(k)}_j$ and define the inner product

$$(f, g) = \int_M \langle f, g \rangle dvol$$

for $f, g \in C^\infty_0(M, \mathscr{V}^{(k)}_j)$, where *dvol* is the volume element of the unimodular quaternionic manifold in (2.19). The formal adjoint operator of $D^{(k)}_j$ is defined as the operator $D^{(k)*}_j : C^\infty_0(M, \mathscr{V}^{(k)}_{j+1}) \to C^\infty_0(M, \mathscr{V}^{(k)}_j)$ such that for any $f \in C^\infty_0(M, \mathscr{V}^{(k)}_j)$, $g \in C^\infty_0(M, \mathscr{V}^{(k)}_{j+1})$,

$$\left(D^{(k)}_j f, g\right) = \left(f, D^{(k)*}_j g\right).$$

As we have done in the flat case [39], we consider the Laplacian associated to the k-Cauchy-Fueter complex (1.5) as in (1.14) for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k-1, k+2, \dots, 2n-2$, and

$$\begin{aligned} &\Box^{(k)}_k = \left(D^{(k)}_{k-1} D^{(k)*}_{k-1}\right)^2 + D^{(k)*}_k D^{(k)}_k, \qquad \Box^{(k)}_{k+1} = D^{(k)}_k D^{(k)*}_k + \left(D^{(k)*}_{k+1} D^{(k)}_{k+1}\right)^2, \\ &\Box^{(k)}_0 = D^{(k)*}_0 D^{(k)}_0, \qquad \Box^{(k)}_{2n-1} = D^{(k)}_{2n-2} D^{(k)*}_{2n-2}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.17}$$

$\Box^{(k)}_k$ and $\Box^{(k)}_{k+1}$ are both differential operators of 4-th order. We consider such Laplacians because $D^{(k)}_k$ is of second order and all other $D^{(k)}_j$'s for $j \neq k$ are of first order.

Lemma 5.1. *If*

$$\mathbb{C}^m \xrightarrow{A} \mathbb{C}^n \xrightarrow{B} \mathbb{C}^l$$

is exact, then $B^*B + AA^*$ is invertible on $\mathbb{C}^n$ and

$$\mathbb{C}^n \cong \operatorname{range} B^*B \oplus \operatorname{range} AA^*$$

is an orthogonal decomposition, where B^*B and AA^* are both Hermitian symmetric.

Proof. For $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ with $v \perp \operatorname{range} B^*B$, we have $0 = (B^*Bv, v) = \|Bv\|^2$, and so $v \in \ker B$. By exactness, there exists $w \in \mathbb{C}^m$ such that $Aw = v$. We can require $w \perp \ker A$. Then there exists $v' \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $A^*v' = w$. This is because we have $\operatorname{range} A^* = (\ker A)^\perp$. Hence, $AA^*v' = v$. □

It follows from Lemma 5.1 that the linear transformations

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma(\Box^{(k)}_j)(\xi) = \sigma_{j-1}(\xi)\sigma_{j-1}(\xi)^* + \sigma_j(\xi)^*\sigma_j(\xi), \qquad j = 1, 2, \dots, k-1, k+2, \dots, 2n-2, \\ &\sigma(\Box^{(k)}_k)(\xi) = (\sigma_{k-1}(\xi)\sigma_{k-1}(\xi)^*)^2 + \sigma_k(\xi)^*\sigma_k(\xi), \cdots, \end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

are all automorphisms of $\mathscr{V}_j^{(k)}$ respectively, for any fixed dual variable $0 \neq \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{4n}$, and are all homogeneous of degree 2 or 4 in ξ. This implies that the associated Laplacian operator (5.17) are all elliptic differential operators from the bundle $\mathscr{V}_j^{(k)}$ to itself.

Theorem 5.1. (Theorem 4.12 in [40]) *Let L be a self-adjoint and elliptic differential operator of order m on a vector bundle F over a compact manifold. Then there exist linear mappings $H_L, G_L : C^\infty(X,F) \longrightarrow C^\infty(X,F)$ so that*

(1) *$H_L(C^\infty(X,F)) = \mathfrak{H}_L(E)$ and $\dim \mathfrak{H}_L(E) < \infty$.*
(2) *$L \circ G_L + H_L = G_L \circ L + H_L =$ the identity on $C^\infty(X,F)$.*
(3) *H_L and G_L extend to bounded linear operators on $L^2(X,F)$.*
(4) *$C^\infty(X,F) = \mathfrak{H}_L(F) \oplus L \circ G_L(C^\infty(X,F)) = \mathfrak{H}_L(F) \oplus G_L \circ L(C^\infty(X,F))$ is an orthogonal decomposition with respect to the inner product in $L^2(X,F)$. In particular, we have $\mathfrak{H}_L(F) = \ker L$.*

Theorem 5.2. *Suppose that M is a compact unimodular quaternionic manifold and is right conformally flat if $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M = 4$. Then we have the Hodge-type orthogonal decomposition:*

$$\Gamma\left(\mathscr{V}_j^{(k)}\right) = \operatorname{Image} D_{j-1}^{(k)} \oplus \operatorname{Image} D_j^{(k)*} \oplus \mathscr{H}_{(k)}^j(M), \tag{5.19}$$

where $H_{(k)}^j(M) \cong \mathscr{H}_{(k)}^j(M)$ are finite dimensional.

Proof. We only give the proof of case $j = k$. Other cases are similar (see [38] [39] for the flat case).

We will omit the superscript (k) for simplicity. Applying Theorem 5.1 to the elliptic differential operators $L = \Box_k$ of 4-th order, we see that that there exists a partial inverse operator $\mathbf{G}_k : \Gamma(\mathscr{V}_k) \longrightarrow \Gamma(\mathscr{V}_k)$ such that

$$\mathbf{G}_k \Box_k = \Box_k \mathbf{G}_k = \mathrm{id} \qquad \text{on} \quad (\ker \Box_k)^\perp. \tag{5.20}$$

To see $\ker \Box_k = \mathscr{H}_{(k)}^k(M)$, note that $(\Box_k f, f) = 0$ implies that $(D_k f, D_k f) = 0$ and $(D_{k-1}D_{k-1}^* f, D_{k-1}D_{k-1}^* f) = 0$, i.e. $D_k f = 0$ and $D_{k-1}D_{k-1}^* f = 0$. Then we have $D_{k-1}^* f = 0$ by

$$(D_{k-1}D_{k-1}^* f, f) = (D_{k-1}^* f, D_{k-1}^* f) = 0.$$

Now suppose that $f \in \Gamma(\mathscr{V}_k)$ such that $f \perp (\operatorname{Image} D_k^* \oplus \mathscr{H}_{(k)}^k(M))$. Then obviously $f \in (\ker \Box_k)^\perp$ since $\ker \Box_k = \mathscr{H}_{(k)}^k(M)$, and $D_k f = 0$ by $(D_k f, h) = (f, D_k^* h) = 0$ for any $h \in \Gamma(\mathscr{V}_{k+1})$. The decomposition (5.19) holds if we can show $f \in \operatorname{Image} D_{k-1}$. If set

$$u := D_{k-1}^* D_{k-1} D_{k-1}^* \mathbf{G}_k f \in \Gamma(\mathscr{V}_{k-1}),$$

we have

$$D_{k-1} u = (D_{k-1}D_{k-1}^*)^2 \mathbf{G}_k f = \left((D_{k-1}D_{k-1}^*)^2 + D_k^* D_k\right) \mathbf{G}_k f = \Box_k \mathbf{G}_k f = f, \tag{5.21}$$

by (5.20) in the last identity and

$$D_k^* D_k \mathbf{G}_k f = 0.$$

This is because

$$(D_k^* D_k \mathbf{G}_k f, D_k^* D_k \mathbf{G}_k f) = (D_k \mathbf{G}_k f, D_k D_k^* D_k \mathbf{G}_k f) = (D_k \mathbf{G}_k f, \Box_{k+1} D_k \mathbf{G}_k f) = 0$$

by

$$D_k(D_k^* D_k + (D_{k-1}D_{k-1}^*)^2) = D_k D_k^* D_k = ((D_{k+1}^* D_{k+1})^2 + D_k D_k^*) D_k. \tag{5.22}$$

and so

$$\Box_{k+1} D_k \mathbf{G}_k f = D_k \Box_k \mathbf{G}_k f = D_k f = 0.$$

The commutating relation (5.22) follows from $D_kD_{k-1} = D_{k+1}D_k = 0$. The result follows. □

6. Vanishing Theorem over quaternionic Kähler manifolds

We only consider quaternionic Kähler manifolds in this section.

Theorem 6.1. *Suppose that M is a compact quaternionic Kähler manifold (right conformally flat if $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M = 4$) with negative scalar curvature. Then we have $H^j_{(k)}(M) = \{0\}$ for $j = 1, \ldots, k-1$.*

Horan [17] [18] proved the Weitzenböck formula and vanishing of the first cohomology for Salamon's complex. See also theorem 4.3 of Nagatomo-Nitta [21] for vanishing theorem for Salamon's complexes and for the k-Cauchy-Fueter complex for $j \geq k+3$ when the manifolds have positive scalar curvatures. See also [31] for indices of Salamon's complexes.

It is sufficient to prove the associated Weitzenböck formula. On a quaternionic Kähler manifold, without loss of generality, we can choose coordinate charts U_α with trivialization $E^*|_{U_\alpha} = U_\alpha \times \mathbb{C}^{2n}$, $H^*|_{U_\alpha} = U \times \mathbb{C}^2$, two-component local orthonormal quaternionic frame $\{Z_{AA'}\}$ such that g, ε and ϵ are standard:

$$(\epsilon_{AB}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & \\ -1 & 0 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & 0 & 1 \\ & & & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.1}$$

(ϵ^{AB}) is the inverse of (ϵ_{AB}). They are used to raise or lower unprimed indices, e.g. $\nabla^A_{A'} = \nabla_{A'B}\epsilon^{BA}$. Define inner product locally as

$$\langle v, w\rangle := \sum_{B_1,\ldots,B'_p} v_{B_1\ldots B_qB'_1\ldots B'_p}\overline{w_{B_1\ldots B_qB'_1\ldots B'_p}}$$

for two $\mathfrak{T}_{q,p}$-tensor v and w, and $|v|^2 := \langle v, v\rangle$. It is obviously well defined globally since it is invariant under the transformation $v \to \widetilde{v}$ ($w \to \widetilde{w}$) with

$$\widetilde{v}_{A_1\ldots A_qA'_1\ldots A'_p} = \prod_{j=1}^{q} \Phi_{A_j}{}^{B_j} \prod_{l=1}^{p} \Psi_{A'_l}{}^{B'_l} v_{B_1\ldots B_qB'_1\ldots B'_p},$$

for $\Phi \in \mathrm{SU}(2n)$, $\Psi \in \mathrm{SU}(2)$. It is an Hermitian inner product: $\langle av, bw\rangle = a\overline{b}\langle v, w\rangle$ and $\langle v, w\rangle = \overline{\langle w, v\rangle}$. The covariant derivatives can be extended naturally to $(\otimes^r E) \otimes (\otimes^s H) \otimes (\otimes^q E^*) \otimes (\otimes^p H^*)$. Define L^2-inner product $(f, h) := \int_M \langle f, h\rangle dV_g$, where dV_g is the volume form of the metric g. Denote $\|f\| := (f, f)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Theorem 6.1 is a consequence of the Hodge-type decomposition in Theorem 5.2 and the following Weitzenböck formula, because the right hand side of (6.2) is negative if the scalar curvature is negative.

Proposition 6.1. *Suppose that $f \in \Gamma(\Lambda^j E^* \otimes \odot^{k-j} H^*)$ satisfying $D^{(k)}_j f = 0$, $D^{(k)*}_j f = 0$ on a compact quaternionic Kähler manifold M with dimension $4n$ (right conformally flat if $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M = 4$), $j = 1, \ldots, k-1$. Then we have*

$$\left\|\widehat{\nabla} f\right\|^2 + c_j \left\|\nabla^* f\right\|^2 = \frac{2n - 1 + (-1)^j}{(j+1)}(p+2)\int_M \Lambda \left|f\right|^2 dV_g, \tag{6.2}$$

where $p = k - j$, $c_j = \frac{1-(-1)^j}{2(j+1)}\frac{p+2}{p+1} \geq 0$, $\Lambda = \frac{s_g}{8n(n+2)}$, and s_g is the scalar curvature.

But for $j > k+3$, the right hand side of a similar formula is negative if the scalar curvature is positive, since $p+2<0$. This explains the assumption of positive scalar curvatures in Horan [17] [18] and Nagatomo-Nitta [21].

6.1. **The formal adjoint operators.** Since we have developed the two-component notation, we can derive the formal adjoint operators of ∇ and $\mathscr{D}_{q,p}$ explicitly, while there is no proof in Horan [17] [18] for them.

Proposition 6.2. *On a quaternionic Kähler manifold, we have (1)*

$$Z^{AA'} = \overline{Z_{AA'}}; \tag{6.3}$$

(2)

$$\overline{\Gamma_{AA'B}{}^{C}} = -\Gamma^{AA'}{}_{C}{}^{B}, \qquad \overline{\Gamma_{AA'B'}{}^{C'}} = -\Gamma^{AA'}{}_{C'}{}^{B'}; \tag{6.4}$$

(3) the formal adjoint of $Z_{AA'}$ is

$$Z^*_{AA'} = -Z^{AA'} + \Gamma^{DA'}{}_{D}{}^{A} + \Gamma^{AD'}{}_{D'}{}^{A'}. \tag{6.5}$$

Proof. (1) By definition $Z^{AA'} := Z_{BB'}\epsilon^{BA}\varepsilon^{B'A'}$ and $\epsilon^{10} = -\epsilon^{01} = 1$, $\varepsilon^{1'0'} = -\varepsilon^{0'1'} = 1$. It is direct from $Z_{AA'}$ in (2.16) to see that

$$\overline{Z_{00'}} = Z_{11'} = Z^{00'}, \qquad \overline{Z_{10'}} = -Z_{01'} = Z^{10'},$$
$$\overline{Z_{01'}} = -Z_{10'} = Z^{01'}, \qquad \overline{Z_{11'}} = Z_{00'} = Z^{11'}, \cdots.$$

(2) On a quaternionic Kähler manifold M, we know that for any $X \in TM$, the connection $\omega'(X)$ on the bundle E is $\mathfrak{su}(2n)$-valued by Proposition 3.1. If write $Z_{AA'} = X + \mathbf{i}Y$ for some $X, Y \in TM$, we have

$$\overline{\Gamma_{AA'B}{}^{C}} = \overline{\omega'(X+\mathbf{i}Y)_B{}^C} = \overline{\omega'(X)_B{}^C} - \mathbf{i}\overline{\omega'(Y)_B{}^C} = -\omega'(X)_C{}^B + \mathbf{i}\omega'(Y)_C{}^B = -\omega'(\overline{Z_{AA'}})_C{}^B = -\Gamma^{AA'}{}_{C}{}^{B}.$$

Here we have used $\overline{Z_{AA'}} = Z^{AA'}$ in (6.3). It is similar for $\Gamma_{AA'B'}{}^{C'}$.

(3) Recall that the formal adjoint $Z^*_{AA'}$ of $Z_{AA'}$ satisfies

$$\int_M Z_{AA'} f \cdot \overline{h} dV_g = \int_M f \cdot \overline{Z^*_{AA'} h} dV_g \tag{6.6}$$

for any compactly supported scalar functions f and h. Note that $Xf = i_X df$, where i_X is the interior operator. By Stokes' formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M Z_{AA'}(f\overline{h}) dV_g &= \int_M d(f\overline{h}) \wedge i_{Z_{AA'}} dV_g \\ &= \int_M d(f\overline{h} i_{Z_{AA'}} dV_g) - \int_M f\overline{h} \cdot d(i_{Z_{AA'}} dV_g) = -\int_M f\overline{h} \cdot d(i_{Z_{AA'}} dV_g), \end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

where the volume form dV_g is given by (2.19), $\{e^{BB'}\}$ is dual to $\{Z_{BB'}\}$ and

$$d\left(i_{Z_{AA'}} dV_g\right) = \sum_{(B,B') \neq (A,A')} de^{BB'} \wedge i_{Z_{BB'}} i_{Z_{AA'}} dV_g. \tag{6.8}$$

By the standard exterior differentiation formula, $d\varphi(X,Y) = \frac{1}{2}[(\nabla_X\varphi)(Y) - (\nabla_Y\varphi)(X) + \varphi(\tau_{X,Y})]$ for 1-form $\varphi \in \Omega^1(M)$ and the torsion $\tau_{X,Y} = 0$ since the connection is torsion-free, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} 2de^{BB'}(Z_{CC'}, Z_{DD'}) &= \left(-\Gamma_{CC'E}{}^{B} e^{EB'} - \Gamma_{CC'E'}{}^{B'} e^{BE'}\right)(Z_{DD'}) - CC' \leftrightarrow DD' \\ &= -\Gamma_{CC'D}{}^{B}\delta^{B'}_{D'} - \Gamma_{CC'D'}{}^{B'}\delta^{B}_{D} - CC' \leftrightarrow DD', \end{aligned}$$

where $\nabla e^{BB'} = \nabla(e^B \otimes e^{B'})$. Therefore,

$$de^{BB'} = -\left(\Gamma_{CC'D}{}^{B}\delta^{B'}_{D'} + \Gamma_{CC'D'}{}^{B'}\delta^{B}_{D}\right) e^{CC'} \wedge e^{DD'}. \tag{6.9}$$

Here we use $\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2(X,Y) := \frac{1}{2}[\omega_1(X)\omega_2(Y) - \omega_1(Y)\omega_2(X)]$. Note that for fixed AA', BB', we can write $dV_g = e^{AA'} \wedge e^{BB'} \wedge \widetilde{\omega}$ with the $(4n-2)$-form $\widetilde{\omega} = i_{Z_{BB'}} i_{Z_{AA'}} dV_g$. Substitute (6.9) into (6.8) to get

$$d\left(i_{Z_{AA'}} dV_g\right) = -\sum_{(B,B')\neq(A,A')} \left(\Gamma_{AA'B}{}^{B} + \Gamma_{AA'B'}{}^{B'} - \Gamma_{BB'A}{}^{B}\delta^{B'}_{A'} - \Gamma_{BB'A'}{}^{B'}\delta^{B}_{A}\right) dV_g. \tag{6.10}$$

Now substituting the conjugate of (6.10) into the right hand side of (6.7), we find that for A and A' fixed,

$$Z^*_{AA'} = -Z^{AA'} - \sum_{(B,B')\neq(A,A')} \left(\Gamma^{AA'}{}_{B}{}^{B} + \Gamma^{AA'}{}_{B'}{}^{B'}\right) + \sum_{B\neq A} \Gamma^{BA'}{}_{B}{}^{A} + \sum_{B'\neq A'} \Gamma^{AB'}{}_{B'}{}^{A'}, \tag{6.11}$$

by using definition (6.6) and $\overline{Z_{AA'}} = Z^{AA'}$ in (6.3). But for A and A' fixed, we have

$$\sum_{(B,B')\neq(A,A')} \Gamma^{AA'}{}_{B}{}^{B} = \Gamma^{AA'}{}_{A}{}^{A} + 2\sum_{B\neq A} \Gamma^{AA'}{}_{B}{}^{B} = -\Gamma^{AA'}{}_{A}{}^{A} \tag{6.12}$$

by $\operatorname{tr} \Gamma_{a*}{}^{*} = 0$ since $\mathfrak{sl}(2n,\mathbb{C})$ by Proposition 3.1, and similarly

$$\sum_{(B,B')\neq(A,A')} \Gamma^{AA'}{}_{B'}{}^{B'} = \sum_{B'\neq A'} \Gamma^{AA'}{}_{B'}{}^{B'} + (2n-1)\sum_{B'} \Gamma^{AA'}{}_{B'}{}^{B'} = -\Gamma^{AA'}{}_{A'}{}^{A'}, \tag{6.13}$$

by $\operatorname{tr} \Gamma_{a*'}{}^{*'} = 0$. The result (6.5) follows from substituting (6.12)-(6.13) into (6.11). □

Proposition 6.3. *On a quaternionic Kähler manifold, we have*

(1) The formal adjoint $\nabla^* : \Gamma(\mathfrak{T}_{q+1,p+1}) \to \Gamma(\mathfrak{T}_{q,p})$ of ∇ is given by

$$(\nabla^* f)_{A_1\ldots A_q A'_1\ldots A'_p} = -\nabla^{AA'} f_{AA_1\ldots A_q A' A'_1\ldots A'_p};$$

(2) the formal adjoint $\mathscr{D}^*_{q,p} : \Gamma(\Lambda^{q+1}E^* \otimes \odot^{p-1}H^*) \longrightarrow \Gamma(\Lambda^q E^* \otimes \odot^p H^*)$ of $\mathscr{D}_{q,p}$ is given by

$$(\mathscr{D}^*_{q,p} f)_{A_1\ldots A_q A'_1\ldots A'_p} = \nabla^{A}_{(A'_1} f_{|AA_1\ldots A_q|A'_2\ldots A'_p)}.$$

Proof. (1) We choose a coordinate chart U_α with trivialization $E^*|_{U_\alpha} = U_\alpha \times \mathbb{C}^{2n}$, $H^*|_{U_\alpha} = U \times \mathbb{C}^2$, f supported in U_α, and two-component local orthonormal quaternionic frame $\{Z_{AA'}\}$ such that g, ε and ϵ are standard. Then for $h \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{T}_{q,p})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nabla h, f) &= \int \nabla_{BB'} h_{A_1\ldots A_q A'_1\ldots A'_p} \overline{f_{BA_1\ldots A_q B' A'_1\ldots A'_p}} dV_g \\
&= \int \Big[Z_{BB'} h_{A_1\ldots A_q A'_1\ldots A'_p} - \Gamma_{BB'A_j}{}^{D} h_{A_1\ldots D\ldots A_q A'_1\ldots A'_p} \\
&\qquad\qquad - \Gamma_{BB'A'_j}{}^{D'} h_{A_1\ldots A_q A'_1\ldots D'\ldots A'_p} \Big] \overline{f_{BA_1\ldots A_q B' A'_1\ldots A'_p}} dV_g \\
&= \int h_{A_1\ldots A_q A'_1\ldots A'_p} \left[-\overline{Z^{BB'}} + \overline{\Gamma^{DB'}{}_{D}{}^{B}} + \overline{\Gamma^{BD'}{}_{D'}{}^{B'}} \right] \overline{f_{BA_1\ldots A_q B' A'_1\ldots A'_p}} \\
&\quad + \left[h_{A_1\ldots D\ldots A_q A'_1\ldots A'_p} \overline{\Gamma^{BB'}{}_{D}{}^{A_j}} + h_{A_1\ldots A_q A'_1\ldots D'\ldots A'_p} \overline{\Gamma^{BB'}{}_{D'}{}^{A'_j}} \right] \overline{f_{BA_1\ldots A_q B' A'_1\ldots A'_p}},
\end{aligned}$$

by using (6.5) for the expression of the formal adjoint $Z^*_{BB'}$ and (6.4) for the connection coefficients. Then we can change indices so that the right hand side can be written as $(h, \nabla^* f)$ with

$$(\nabla^* f)_{A_1\ldots A_q A'_1\ldots A'_p} = -Z^{A_0 A'_0} f_{A_0 A_1\ldots A_q A'_0 A'_1\ldots A'_p} + \Gamma^{A_0 A'_0}{}_{A_j}{}^{E} f_{\ldots E\ldots A'_0\ldots A'_p} + \Gamma^{A_0 A'_0}{}_{A'_j}{}^{E'} f_{A_0 A_1\ldots A_q\ldots E'\ldots}.$$

(2) For any $h \in \Gamma(\Lambda^q E^* \otimes \odot^p H^*)$ and $f \in \Gamma(\Lambda^{q+1} E^* \otimes \odot^{p-1} H^*)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathscr{D}_{q,p} h, f) &= \int \nabla^{A'}_{[A} h_{A_1 \dots A_q] A' A'_2 \dots A'_p} \overline{f_{A A_1 \dots A_q A'_2 \dots A'_p}} dV_g \\ &= -\int \nabla_{AB'} h_{A_1 \dots A_q A' A'_2 \dots A'_p} \varepsilon_{B'A'} \cdot \overline{f_{A A_1 \dots A_q A'_2 \dots A'_p}} dV_g \\ &= \int h_{A_1 \dots A_q A' A'_2 \dots A'_p} \overline{\nabla^{AB'} f_{A A_1 \dots A_q A'_2 \dots A'_p} \varepsilon_{B'A'}} dV_g \\ &= \int h_{A_1 \dots A_q A' A'_2 \dots A'_p} \overline{\nabla^{A}_{(A'} f_{|A A_1 \dots A_q | A'_2 \dots A'_p)}} dV_g = (h, \mathscr{D}^*_{q,p} f)\end{aligned}$$

by using (1), $\varepsilon^{B'A'} = -\varepsilon_{B'A'}$. Here we drop antisymmetrisation in the second identity by

$$\sum_{B_0,\dots,B_q} \left(g_{[B_0 \dots B_q] \mathscr{B}'}, \widetilde{g}_{[B_0 \dots B_q] \mathscr{B}'}\right) = \sum_{B_0,\dots,B_q} \left(g_{B_0 \dots B_q \mathscr{B}'}, \widetilde{g}_{[B_0 \dots B_q] \mathscr{B}'}\right) \tag{6.14}$$

for any section $g, \widetilde{g} \in C^\infty_0(\otimes^{q+1} E^* \otimes (\otimes^p H^*))$, and add symmetrisation in the last identity by

$$\sum_{B'_1,\dots,B'_p} \left(h_{\mathscr{B}(B'_1 \dots B'_p)}, \widetilde{h}_{\mathscr{B} B'_1 \dots B'_p}\right) = \sum_{B'_1,\dots,B'_p} \left(h_{\mathscr{B}(B'_1 \dots B'_p)}, \widetilde{h}_{\mathscr{B}(B'_1 \dots B'_p)}\right) \tag{6.15}$$

for any section $h, \widetilde{h} \in C^\infty_0(\otimes^q E^* \otimes (\otimes^p H^*))$. (6.15)-(6.14) follows from definition easily. □

6.2. **A Weitzenböck formula.** The Weitzenböck formula for the k-Cauchy-Fueter complex is much more complicated than that for the De Rham complex, since we have not only exterior forms, but also symmetric forms. Note that $D^{(k)*}_j f = 0$, i.e. $\mathscr{D}^*_{q,p} f = 0$ for $q = j$, $p = k - j$, implies that

$$\nabla^A_{(B'_1} f_{|AB_1 \dots B_q | B'_2 \dots B'_p)} = 0. \tag{6.16}$$

by Proposition 6.3 (2). The following is another version of lemma 2.1 of Horan (without proof in [17]).

Lemma 6.1. *For a tensor $f \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{T}_{q,r})$ such that*

$$f_{\mathscr{A} A'_1 A'_2 \dots A'_r} = f_{\mathscr{A} A'_1 (A'_2 \dots A'_r)} \qquad \text{and} \qquad f_{\mathscr{A}(A'_1 A'_2 \dots A'_r)} = 0, \tag{6.17}$$

we have

$$f_{\mathscr{A} A'_1 A'_2 \dots A'_r} = -\frac{r-1}{r} \varepsilon_{A'_1 (A'_2} f_{|\mathscr{A}|}{}^{C'}{}_{A'_3 \dots A'_r) C'}.$$

Proof. Suppose that $A'_1 + \dots + A'_r = l$, i.e. there are l's $1'$ in $\{A'_1, \dots, A'_r\}$. Note that (6.17) implies that $f_{\mathscr{A} A'_1 (A'_2 \dots A'_r)} + \dots + f_{\mathscr{A} A'_j (A'_2 \dots A'_1 \dots A'_r)} + \dots = 0$, and so

$$(r-l) f_{\mathscr{A} 0' 0' \dots 0' 1' 1' \dots} + l f_{\mathscr{A} 1' 0' \dots 0' 0' 1' \dots} = 0. \tag{6.18}$$

Assume $A'_1 = 0'$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon_{0'(A'_2} f_{|\mathscr{A}|}{}^{C'}{}_{A'_3 \dots A'_r) C'} &= \frac{l}{r-1} \varepsilon_{0'1'} f_{\mathscr{A}}{}^{C'}{}_{0' \dots 0' 1' \dots 1' C'} = \frac{l}{r-1} (-f_{\mathscr{A} 0' 0' \dots 0' 1' \dots 1' 1'} + f_{\mathscr{A} 1' 0' \dots 0' 1' \dots 1' 0'}) \\ &= -\frac{r}{r-1} f_{\mathscr{A} 0' 0' \dots 0' 1' \dots 1' 1'}\end{aligned}$$

by using (4.26) in the second identity and (6.18) in the last identity. It is similar for $A'_1 = 1'$. □

Proof of Proposition 6.1. Since $D_j^{(k)} f = 0$, i.e. $\mathscr{D}_{q,p} f = 0$ for $q = j$, $p = k - j$, we have

$$0 = (\mathscr{D}_{q,p} f, \mathscr{D}_{q,p} f) = (\mathscr{D}^*_{q,p} \mathscr{D}_{q,p} f, f). \tag{6.19}$$

We choose a coordinate chart U_α with trivialization $E^*|_{U_\alpha} = U_\alpha \times \mathbb{C}^{2n}$, $H^*|_{U_\alpha} = U \times \mathbb{C}^2$ and a two-component local orthonormal quaternionic frame $\{Z_{AA'}\}$ such that g, ε and ϵ are standard. Then locally

$$(\mathscr{D}^*_{q,p} \mathscr{D}_{q,p} f)_{B_1 \dots B_q B'_1 \dots B'_p} = \nabla^A_{(B'_1} \nabla^{A'}_{|[A} f_{B_1 \dots B_q] A' | B'_2 \dots B'_p)}.$$

To calculate $(\nabla^A_{(B'_1} \nabla^{A'}_{|[A} f_{B_1 \dots B_q] A' | B'_2 \dots B'_p)}, f_{B_1 \dots B_q B'_1 B'_2 \dots B'_p})$, we only need to calculate the term without symmetrisation by (6.15):

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^A_{B'_1} \nabla^{A'}_{[A} f_{B_1 \dots B_q] A' B'_2 \dots B'_p} &= \nabla^{AB'} \nabla^{A'}_{[A} f_{B_1 \dots B_q] A' B'_2 \dots B'_p} \varepsilon_{B' B'_1} \\ &= \varepsilon_{B' B'_1} \left(\nabla^{A[B'} \nabla^{A']}_{[A} + \nabla^{A(B'} \nabla^{A')}_{[A} \right) f_{B_1 \dots B_q] A' B'_2 \dots B'_p} \end{aligned} \tag{6.20}$$

For the first term in the right hand side, note that

$$\nabla^{A[B'} \nabla^{A']}_C = \varepsilon_{B'A'} \nabla^{A[0'} \nabla^{1']}_C = \frac{\varepsilon_{B'A'}}{2} \left(\nabla^{A0'} \nabla^{1'}_C - \nabla^{A1'} \nabla^{0'}_C \right) = -\frac{\varepsilon_{B'A'}}{2} \left(\nabla^{A0'} \nabla_{C0'} + \nabla^{A1'} \nabla_{C1'} \right),$$

by $\varepsilon_{0'1'} = 1$ and $\sum_{B'} \varepsilon_{B'A'} \varepsilon_{B'B'_1} = \delta_{A'B'_1}$. If we display the antisymmetrisation of the unprimed indices in the second term in (6.20), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^A_{B'_1} \nabla^{A'}_{[A} f_{B_1 \dots B_q] A' B'_2 \dots B'_p} &= -\frac{1}{2} \nabla^{AC'} \nabla_{C'[A} f_{B_1 \dots B_q] B'_1 \dots B'_p} \\ &\quad + \frac{\varepsilon_{B'B'_1}}{q+1} \left(\nabla^{A(B'} \nabla^{A')}_A f_{B_1 \dots B_q A' B'_2 \dots B'_p} + \sum_{s=1}^{q} (-1)^s \nabla^{A(B'} \nabla^{A')}_{B_s} f_{\dots A \dots A' B'_2 \dots B'_p} \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \nabla^{AC'} \nabla_{C'[A} f_{B_1 \dots B_q] B'_1 \dots B'_p} + \frac{\varepsilon_{B'B'_1}}{q+1} \nabla^{A(B'} \nabla^{A')}_A f_{B_1 \dots B_q A' B'_2 \dots B'_p} \\ &\quad + \frac{\varepsilon_{B'B'_1}}{q+1} \left(\sum_{s=1}^{q} (-1)^s \left(\nabla^{A(B'} \nabla^{A')}_{B_s} - \nabla^{(A'} _{B_s} \nabla^{B')A} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^{q} (-1)^s \nabla^{B'}_{B_s} \nabla^{A'A} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^{q} (-1)^s \nabla^{A'}_{B_s} \nabla^{B'A} \right) f_{B_1 \dots A \dots B_q A' B'_2 \dots B'_p} \\ &:= (S_1 f + S_2 f + S_3 f + S_4 f + S_5 f)_{B_1 \dots B_q B'_1 \dots B'_p}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.21}$$

Now we get $0 = (S_1 f, f) + \dots + (S_5 f, f)$. The reason we use the expansion above is that $S_2 f$ and $S_3 f$ are commutators of the form $\nabla^{(A'}_{[A} \nabla^{B')}_{B]}$, which are curvature terms. Obviously by using (6.14) and Proposition 6.3, we have

$$(S_1 f, f) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla^* \widehat{\nabla} f, f \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\widehat{\nabla} f, \nabla f \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\widehat{\nabla} f, \widehat{\nabla} f \right) \tag{6.22}$$

by antisymmetrisation (6.14) in the second identity.

Recall that $\Phi = 0$ for quaternionic Kähler manifold, and so we have

$$R^{(A'B')}{}_{ABC}{}^D = 0, \qquad R_{AB}{}^{A'B'}{}_{C'}{}^{D'} = 2\Lambda_{AB} \delta_{C'}{}^{(A'} \varepsilon^{B')D'} \tag{6.23}$$

by Corollary 4.1 and Proposition 3.3. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon_{B'B'_1}\nabla^{(B'}_{[C}\nabla^{B'_0)}_{A]}f_{B_1\dots B_qB'_0B'_2\dots B'_p} =& -\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{B'B'_1}\Big(R_{[CA]}{}^{B'B'_0}{}_{B'_j}{}^{D'}f_{B_1\dots B_qB'_0\dots D'\dots B'_p}\\ &+R^{(B'B'_0)}{}_{CAB}{}^{D}f_{B_1\dots D\dots B_qB'_0\dots B'_p}\Big)\\ =&-\varepsilon_{B'B'_1}\Lambda_{CA}\delta_{B'_j}{}^{(B'}\varepsilon^{B'_0)D'}f_{B_1\dots B_q\dots D'\dots B'_p}\\ =&\frac{p+2}{2}\epsilon_{CA}\Lambda f_{B_1\dots B_qB'_1B'_2\dots B'_p}\end{aligned}\tag{6.24}$$

by using (4.5) for the commutator, Proposition 3.4 for Λ_{AB} and (6.23), and for $j=2,\dots,p$,

$$\begin{aligned}2\varepsilon_{B'B'_1}\delta_{B'_j}{}^{(B'}\varepsilon^{B'_0)D'}f_{B_1\dots B_qB'_0\dots D'\dots B'_p} =&\left(\varepsilon_{B'_jB'_1}\varepsilon^{B'_0D'}+\delta_{B'_j}{}^{B'_0}\varepsilon_{B'B'_1}\varepsilon^{B'D'}\right)f_{B_1\dots B_qB'_0\dots D'\dots B'_p}\\ =&-f_{B_1\dots B_qB'_j\dots B'_1\dots B'_p}\end{aligned}$$

since $\varepsilon^{B'_0D'}$ is antisymmetric and $f_{\dots}$ is symmetric in primed indices, while for $j=0$,

$$\begin{aligned}2\varepsilon_{B'B'_1}\delta_{B'_0}{}^{(B'}\varepsilon^{B'_0)D'}f_{B_1\dots B_qD'B'_2\dots B'_p} =&\left(\varepsilon_{B'_0B'_1}\varepsilon^{B'_0D'}+\delta_{B'_0}{}^{B'_0}\varepsilon_{B'B'_1}\varepsilon^{B'D'}\right)f_{B_1\dots B_qD'B'_2\dots B'_p}\\ =&-3f_{B_1\dots B_qB'_1B'_2\dots B'_p}.\end{aligned}$$

Then, lowering the superscript A in S_2f and applying (6.24) to S_2f, we get

$$\begin{aligned}(S_2f)_{B_1\dots B_qB'_1\dots B'_p} =&\frac{\varepsilon_{B'B'_1}}{q+1}\nabla^{(B'}_C\nabla^{B'_0)}_A\epsilon^{CA}f_{B_1\dots B_qB'_0B'_2\dots B'_p}\\ =&\frac{\epsilon^{CA}}{q+1}\varepsilon_{B'B'_1}\nabla^{(B'}_{[C}\nabla^{B'_0)}_{A]}f_{B_1\dots B_qB'_0B'_2\dots B'_p}\\ =&\frac{p+2}{2(q+1)}\epsilon^{CA}\epsilon_{CA}\Lambda f_{B_1\dots B_qB'_1\dots B'_p}=-\frac{p+2}{q+1}n\Lambda f_{B_1\dots B_qB'_1\dots B'_p},\end{aligned}\tag{6.25}$$

and similarly, applying (6.24) to S_3f, we get

$$\begin{aligned}(S_3f)_{B_1\dots B_qB'_1\dots B'_p} =&\sum_{s=1}^{q}\frac{(-1)^s\varepsilon_{B'B'_1}}{q+1}\left(\nabla^{(B'}_C\nabla^{B'_0)}_{B_s}-\nabla^{(B'_0}_{B_s}\nabla^{B')}_C\right)\epsilon^{CA}f_{B_1\dots A\dots B_qB'_0B'_2\dots B'_p}\\ =&2\sum_{s=1}^{q}\frac{(-1)^s\epsilon^{CA}}{q+1}\varepsilon_{B'B'_1}\nabla^{(B'}_{[C}\nabla^{B'_0)}_{B_s]}f_{B_1\dots A\dots B_qB'_0B'_2\dots B'_p}\\ =&\sum_{s=1}^{q}\frac{p+2}{q+1}(-1)^s\epsilon^{CA}\epsilon_{CB_s}\Lambda f_{B_1\dots A\dots B_qB'_1\dots B'_p}=\frac{1-(-1)^q}{2(q+1)}(p+2)\Lambda f_{B_1\dots B_qB'_1\dots B'_p}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(S_2f,f)+(S_3f,f)=-\frac{2n-1+(-1)^q}{2(q+1)}(p+2)\,(\Lambda f,f)\,.\tag{6.26}$$

Similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned}(S_4f)_{B_1\dots B_qB'_1\dots B'_p} =&\sum_{s=1}^{q}\frac{-1}{2(q+1)}\nabla_{B_sB'_1}\nabla^{A'A}f_{AB_1\dots\widehat{B}_s\dots B_qA'B'_2\dots B'_p}\\ =&\frac{1}{2(q+1)}\sum_{s=1}^{q}(\nabla\nabla^*f)_{B_sB_1\dots\widehat{B}_s\dots B_qB'_1B'_2\dots B'_p}\end{aligned}$$

by using the expression of ∇^* in Proposition 6.3 and f antisymmetric in unprimed indices, and

$$\begin{aligned}(S_5 f)_{B_1\dots B_q B'_1\dots B'_p} &= -\sum_{s=1}^{q}\frac{1}{2(q+1)}\nabla^{A'}_{B_s}\nabla^{A}_{B'_1} f_{AB_1\dots\widehat{B}_s\dots B_q A' B'_2\dots B'_p}\\ &= \sum_{s=1}^{q}\frac{1}{2(q+1)}\frac{p}{p+1}\nabla^{A'}_{B_s}\varepsilon_{B'_1(A'}\nabla^{AC'} f_{|AB_1\dots\widehat{B}_s\dots B_q|B'_2\dots B'_p)C'}\\ &= \sum_{s=1}^{q}\frac{1}{2(q+1)}\frac{1}{p+1}\left[-\nabla_{B_s B'_1}\nabla^{AC'} f_{AB_1\dots\widehat{B}_s\dots B_q B'_2\dots B'_p C'} + \varepsilon_{B'_1 B'_j}\nabla^{A'}_{B_s}\nabla^{AC'} f_{AB_1\dots\widehat{B}_s\dots B_q B'_2\dots A'\dots B'_p C'}\right]\\ &= \frac{1}{2(q+1)(p+1)}\sum_{s=1}^{q}(\nabla\nabla^* f)_{B_s B_1\dots\widehat{B}_s\dots B_q B'_1 B'_2\dots B'_p} + \widetilde{S}_5 f\end{aligned}$$

where in the second identity we apply Lemma 6.1 to $\nabla^{A}_{B'_1} f_{B_1\dots A\dots B_q A' B'_2\dots B'_p}$ with $r = p+1$, since the condition of the lemma is satisfied by (6.16). Note that $(\widetilde{S}_5 f, f) = 0$ by f symmetric but $\varepsilon_{B'_1 B'_j}$ antisymmetric in B'_1, B'_j. Therefore

$$(S_4 f, f) + (S_5 f, f) = \frac{(p+2)}{2(q+1)(p+1)}\sum_{s=1}^{q}(-1)^{s-1}\,(\nabla^* f, \nabla^* f) = \frac{(1-(-1)^q)(p+2)}{4(q+1)(p+1)}\,(\nabla^* f, \nabla^* f)\,. \tag{6.27}$$

Substituting (6.22), (6.26) and (6.27) into $0 = (S_1 f, f) + \ldots + (S_5 f, f)$, we get the identity (6.2). □

Appendix A. The curvature decomposition

At first, the traces of curvatures vanish when we antisymmetrise primed (or unprimed) indices and symmetrise unprimed (or primed) indices.

Proposition A.1. *The curvatures of a unimodular quaternionic manifold satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} R_{[A'B']C(AB)}{}^{C} &= 0, \qquad R_{(A'B')C[AB]}{}^{C} = 0,\\ R_{[AB]C'(A'B')}{}^{C'} &= 0, \qquad R_{(AB)C'[A'B']}{}^{C'} = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

Proof. The first Bianchi identity (3.16) and the antisymmetry of $R_{abc}{}^{d}$ in a and b implies the cyclicity:

$$R_{AA'BB'CC'}{}^{DD'} + R_{BB'CC'AA'}{}^{DD'} + R_{CC'AA'BB'}{}^{DD'} = 0 \tag{A.2}$$

for all $A, \dots, A', \dots$. Take trace over C'-D' in (A.2) to get

$$2R_{A'B'ABC}{}^{D} + R_{B'A'BCA}{}^{D} + R_{B'A'CAB}{}^{D} + R_{BCB'C'A'}{}^{C'}\delta_A{}^{D} + R_{CAC'A'B'}{}^{C'}\delta_B{}^{D} = 0. \tag{A.3}$$

by the decomposition (3.13) of curvatures. Here and in the sequel we use vanishing of traces in (3.14) repeatedly.

Taking trace over C-D in (A.3), we get

$$R_{B'A'BCA}{}^{C} + R_{B'A'CAB}{}^{C} + R_{BAB'C'A'}{}^{C'} + R_{BAC'A'B'}{}^{C'} = 0, \tag{A.4}$$

by using (3.14) again. Antisymmetrising $[A'B']$ and symmetrising (AB) in (A.4), we get

$$R_{[B'A']C(AB)}{}^{C} + R_{(AB)C'[A'B']}{}^{C'} = 0, \tag{A.5}$$

by using

$$R_{[B'A']BCA}{}^{D} = R_{[B'A']CBA}{}^{D} \qquad \text{and} \qquad R_{(BA)B'C'A'}{}^{D'} = -R_{(BA)C'B'A'}{}^{D'} \tag{A.6}$$

which follows from antisymmetry

$$R_{A'B'ABC}{}^{D} = -R_{B'A'BAC}{}^{D}, \qquad R_{ABA'B'C'}{}^{D'} = -R_{BAB'A'C'}{}^{D'}, \tag{A.7}$$

i.e., $R_{abC}{}^{D} = -R_{baC}{}^{D}$ and $R_{abC'}{}^{D'} = -R_{baC'}{}^{D'}$. Here (A.6) means that $R_{[B'A']BCA}{}^{D}$ is symmetric in B, C, while $R_{(BA)B'C'A'}{}^{D'}$ is antisymmetric in B', C'. These identities will be used frequently to change the order of indices.

Taking trace over B-D in (A.3), we get

$$2R_{A'B'ABC}{}^{B} + R_{B'A'BCA}{}^{B} + R_{ACB'C'A'}{}^{C'} + 2nR_{CAC'A'B'}{}^{C'} = 0. \tag{A.8}$$

Antisymmetrising $[A'B']$ and symmetrising (AC) in (A.8), we see that

$$R_{[A'B']B(AC)}{}^{B} + (2n+1)R_{(AC)C'[A'B']}{}^{C'} = 0, \tag{A.9}$$

by (A.6). Now (A.5) and (A.9) imply that the first and the last identities in (A.1).

Symmetrising $(A'B')$ and antisymmetrising $[AB]$ in (A.4), we get

$$R_{(B'A')C[AB]}{}^{C} - R_{[AB]C'(B'A')}{}^{C'} = 0. \tag{A.10}$$

On the other hand, we take trace over C-D in (A.2) to get

$$R_{B'C'BCA}{}^{C}\delta_{A'}{}^{D'} + R_{C'A'CAB}{}^{C}\delta_{B'}{}^{D'} + 2nR_{ABA'B'C'}{}^{D'} + R_{BAB'C'A'}{}^{D'} + R_{BAC'A'B'}{}^{D'} = 0. \tag{A.11}$$

Taking trace over B'-D' in (A.11), we get

$$R_{A'C'BCA}{}^{C} + 2R_{C'A'CAB}{}^{C} + 2nR_{ABA'B'C'}{}^{B'} + R_{BAB'C'A'}{}^{B'} = 0. \tag{A.12}$$

Then symmetrising $(A'C')$ and antisymmetrising $[AB]$ in (A.12), we get

$$3R_{(A'C')C[AB]}{}^{C} + (2n-1)R_{[AB]B'(A'C')}{}^{B'} = 0,$$

by using (A.6) again. This together with (A.10) implies that the second and the third identity in (A.1). The proposition is proved. □

Proof of Proposition 3.3. (1) The first identity in (3.19) follows directly from the definition of Ψ in (3.20).

(2) Now antisymmetrising $[A'B']$ and $[AC]$ in (A.8), we see that

$$3R_{[A'B']B[AC]}{}^{B} - (2n+1)R_{[AC]C'[A'B']}{}^{C'} = 0$$

by using (A.6) again. Namely

$$R_{[A'B']C[AB]}{}^{C} = (2n+1)\Lambda_{AB}\varepsilon_{A'B'} \tag{A.13}$$

by the definition of Λ in (3.20). On the other hand symmetrising $(A'B')$ and (AC) in (A.8), we get

$$-R_{(A'B')B(AC)}{}^{B} + (2n-1)R_{(AC)C'(A'B')}{}^{C'} = 0.$$

Then by the definition of Φ in (3.20), we get

$$R_{(A'B')C(AB)}{}^{C} = (2n-1)\Phi_{ABA'B'}. \tag{A.14}$$

(3) Antisymmetrising $[A'B']$ and symmetrising (AB) in (A.3), we get

$$2R_{[A'B']ABC}{}^{D} - 2R_{[A'B']C(AB)}{}^{D} + R_{CBC'[A'B']}{}^{C'}\delta_{A}{}^{D} + R_{CAC'[A'B']}{}^{C'}\delta_{B}{}^{D} = 0, \tag{A.15}$$

by using the antisymmetry (A.7). It follows from the last identity in (A.1) and the definition of Λ in (3.20) that

$$R_{CBC'[A'B']}{}^{C'}\delta_A{}^D = R_{[CB]C'[A'B']}{}^{C'}\delta_A{}^D = 3\Lambda_{CB}\delta_A{}^D\varepsilon_{A'B'}.$$

Thus the sum of the last two terms in (A.15) is equal to $6\Lambda_{C(B}\delta_{A)}{}^D$. Then by the trivial identity $2\Lambda_{C(B}\delta_{A)}{}^D = \delta_{(C}{}^D\Lambda_{A)B} + \delta_{(C}{}^D\Lambda_{B)A}$ and the definition of Ψ in (3.20), we see that (A.15) is equivalent to

$$\Psi_{ABC}{}^D = \Psi_{C(AB)}{}^D.$$

Then $\Psi_{ABC}{}^D = \Psi_{(ABC)}{}^D$ since $\Psi_{ABC}{}^D$ is symmetric in A, B by definition. $\Psi_{ABC}{}^A = 0$ by symmetrising (BC) in the definition (3.20) of Ψ and using the first identity in (A.1).

(4) Symmetrise $(A'B')$ and antisymmetrise $[AB]$ in (A.3) to get

$$2R_{(A'B')ABC}{}^D + 2R_{(A'B')C[AB]}{}^D - R_{CBC'(A'B')}{}^{C'}\delta_A{}^D + R_{CAC'(A'B')}{}^{C'}\delta_B{}^D = 0.$$

Apply (A.1) and the definition of Φ in (3.20) to the last two terms above to get

$$R_{(A'B')ABC}{}^D + R_{(A'B')C[AB]}{}^D - \delta_{[A}{}^D\Phi_{B]CA'B'} = 0. \tag{A.16}$$

Now antisymmetrising $[ABC]$ above, we find that

$$R_{(A'B')[ABC]}{}^D = 0, \tag{A.17}$$

which is equivalent to

$$R_{(A'B')ABC}{}^D + 2R_{(A'B')C[AB]}{}^D = 0,$$

by $R_{(A'B')ABC}{}^D$ antisymmetric in A, B. Substitute this into (A.16) to get the second identity in (3.19).

(5) To show the last identity in (3.19), antisymmetrise $[AB]$ in (A.11) to get

$$-R_{C'B'C[BA]}{}^C\delta_{A'}{}^{D'} + R_{C'A'C[AB]}{}^C\delta_{B'}{}^{D'} + 2nR_{[AB]A'B'C'}{}^{D'} - 2R_{[AB]C'(A'B')}{}^{D'} = 0$$

by using (A.6) to the first term. Then we have

$$(2n+1)\Lambda_{AB}\left(\varepsilon_{C'B'}\delta_{A'}{}^{D'} + \varepsilon_{C'A'}\delta_{B'}{}^{D'}\right) + 2nR_{[AB]A'B'C'}{}^{D'} - 2R_{[AB]C'(A'B')}{}^{D'} = 0, \tag{A.18}$$

by (A.1) and (A.13). Symmetrising $(A'B'C')$ in the above identity, we get

$$(2n-2)R_{[AB](A'B'C')}{}^{D'} = 0. \tag{A.19}$$

If $n \neq 1$, it is equivalent to

$$R_{[AB]A'B'C'}{}^{D'} + 2R_{[AB]C'(A'B')}{}^{D'} = 0, \tag{A.20}$$

by $R_{[AB]A'B'C'}{}^{D'}$ symmetric in A' and B'. Substitute this into (A.18) to get the last identity in (3.19). If $n = 1$, (3.22) follows from the definition of $\Psi'_{A'B'C'}{}^{D'}$. We have $\Psi'_{A'B'C'}{}^{D'} = \Psi'_{(A'B'C')}{}^{D'}$ as in part (3) by exchanging the primed and unprimed indices.

(6) At last, we symmetrise (AB) in (A.11) to get

$$-R_{C'B'C(AB)}{}^C\delta_{A'}{}^{D'} + R_{C'A'C(AB)}{}^C\delta_{B'}{}^{D'} + 2nR_{(AB)A'B'C'}{}^{D'} - R_{(AB)C'B'A'}{}^{D'} + R_{(AB)C'A'B'}{}^{D'} = 0,$$

by using (A.6) again. By (A.1), (A.14) and the second identity in (3.19), we find that

$$(2n-1)\left(-\Phi_{ABC'B'}\delta_{A'}{}^{D'}+\Phi_{ABC'A'}\delta_{B'}{}^{D'}\right)+2nR_{(AB)A'B'C'}{}^{D'}+2R_{(AB)C'[A'B']}{}^{D'}=0. \tag{A.21}$$

Antisymmetrise $[A'B'C']$ above to get

$$(2n+2)R_{(AB)[A'B'C']}{}^{D'}=0.$$

Namely

$$R_{(AB)A'B'C'}{}^{D'}+2R_{(AB)C'[A'B']}{}^{D'}=0.$$

Substitute this into (A.21) to get

$$R_{(AB)A'B'C'}{}^{D'}=\Phi_{ABC'B'}\delta_{A'}{}^{D'}-\Phi_{ABC'A'}\delta_{B'}{}^{D'}.$$

The third identity in (3.19) is obtained. The proposition is proved. □

References

[1] Adams, W., Loustaunau, P., Palamodov, V. and Struppa, D., Hartogs' phenomenon for polyregular functions and projective dimension of releted modules over a polynomial ring, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **47** (1997) 623-640.

[2] Alesker, S., Pluripotential theory on quaternionic manifolds, *J. Geom. Phys.* **62** (2012), no. 5, 1189-1206.

[3] Alesker, S. and Verbitsky, M. , Quaternionic Monge-Ampère equation and Calabi problem for HKT-manifolds, *Israel J. Math.* **176** (2010), 109-138.

[4] Alesker, S. and Verbitsky, M. , Plurisubharmonic functions on hypercomplex manifolds and HKT-geometry, *J. Geom. Anal.* **16** (2006) 375-399.

[5] Bailey, T. and Eastwood, M., Complex paraconformal manifolds-their differential geometry and twistor theory, *Forum Math.* **3** (1991), 61-103.

[6] Baston, R., Quaternionic complexes, *J. Geom. Phys.* **8** (1992) 29-52.

[7] Baston, R. and Eastwood, M., *The Penrose transform. Its interaction with representation theory*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1989.

[8] Bergshoeff, E., de Wit, T., Halbersma, R., Cucu, S., Gheerardyn, J., Van Proeyen, A. and Vandoren, S., Superconformal $N = 2$, $D = 5$ matter with and without actions, *J. High Energy Phys.* **2002**, no. 10, 045, 65 pp.

[9] Bureš, J. and V. Souček, V., Complexes of invariant differential operators in several quaternionic variables, *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* **51** (2006), no. 5-6, 463-487.

[10] Bureš, J., Damiano, A. and Sabadini, I., Explicit resolutions for several Fueter operators, *J. Geom. Phys.* **57**, 2007, 765-775.

[11] Changa, D.-C., Markinac, I. and Wangd, W., On the Hodge-type decomposition and cohomolgy groups of k-Cauchy-Fueter complexes over domains in the quaternionic space, *J. Geom. Phys.* **107** (2016), 15-34.

[12] Cherney, D., Latini, E. and Waldron, A., Quaternionic Kähler detour complexes and $N = 2$ supersymmetric black holes, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **302** (2011), no. 3, 843-873.

[13] Colombo, F., Souček, V. and Struppa, D., Invariant resolutions for several Fueter operators, *J. Geom. Phys.* **56** (2006), no. 7, 1175-1191.

[14] Colombo, F., Sabadini, I., Sommen, F. and Struppa, D., *Analysis of Dirac systems and computational algebra*, Progress in Mathematical Physics **39**, Boston, Birkhäuser, 2004.

[15] Eastwood, M., Penrose, R. and Wells, R., Cohomology and massless fields, *Comm. Math. Phys.*. **78** (1980), no. 3, 305-351.

[16] Hitchin, N.J., Karlhede, A., Lindström, U. and Roček, M., HyperKähler metrics and supersymmetry, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **108** (1987), 535-589.

[17] Horan, R., A rigidity theorem for quaternionic Kähler manifolds, *Diff. Geom. and Appl.* **6** (1996) 189-196.

[18] Horan, R., Cohomology of a quaternionic complex, in *Further advances in twistor theory III. curved twistor spaces*, edit by L. Mason et al., Chapman and Hall/CRC, London, New York, (2000), 66-71.

[19] Ivanov, S. and Minchev, I., Quaternionic Kähler and hyperKähler manifolds with torsion and twistor spaces, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **567** (2004), 215-233.

[20] Kang, Q. Q. and Wang, W., On Penrose integral formula and series expansion of k-regular functions on the quaternionic space $\mathbb{H}^n$, *J. Geom. Phys.* **64** (2013), 192-208.

[21] Nagatomo, Y. and Nitta, T., Vanishing theorems for quaternionic complexes, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **29** (1997), no. 3, 359-366.

[22] Neitzke, A., Pioline, B. and Vandoren, S., Twistors and black holes, *JHEP* **0704**, 038 (2007).

[23] Ornea, L. and Piccini, P., Locally conformally Kähler structures in quaternionic geometry, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **349** (1997) 641-655.

[24] Penrose, R. and Rindler, W., *Spinors and Space-Time*, Vol. **1**, *Two-spinor calculus and relativistic fields*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1984.

[25] Penrose, R. and Rindler, W., *Spinors and Space-Time*, Vol. **2**, *Spinor and twistor methods in space-time geometry*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986.

[26] Salamon, S., Quaternionic Kähler manifolds, *Invent. Math.* **67**, (1982), no. 1, 143-171.

[27] Salamon, S., Differential geometry of quaternionic manifolds, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **19** (1986), no. 1, 31-55.

[28] Salamon, S., *Riemannian Geometry and Holonomy Groups*, in: Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics, vol. 201, Longman, 1989.

[29] Semmelmann, U. and Weingart, G., Vanishing theorems for quaternionic Kähler manifolds, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **544** (2002), 111-132.

[30] Semmelmann, U. and Weingart, G., The Weitzenböck machine, *Compos. Math.* **146** (2010), no. 2, 507-540.

[31] Spacil, O., Indices of quaternionic complexes, *Diff. Geom. and Appl.* **28** (2010), 395-405.

[32] Swann, A., Hyper-Kähler and quaternionic Kähler geometry, *Math. Ann.* **289** (1991), no. 3, 421-450.

[33] Verbitsky, M., Quaternionic Dolbeault complex and vanishing theorems on hyperKähler manifolds, *Compos. Math.* **143** (2007), no. 6, 1576-1592.

[34] Wan, D. R., The continuity and range of the quaternionic Monge-Ampère operator on quaternionic space, to appear in *Math. Zeit.*, DOI 10.1007/s00209-016-1716-8.

[35] Wan, D. R. and Zhang, W. J., Quasicontinuity and maximality of quaternionic plurisubharmonic functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **424** (2015), no. 1, 86-103.

[36] Wan, D. R. and Wang, W., On quaternionic Monge-Ampère operator, closed positive currents and Lelong-Jensen type formula on quaternionic space, arXiv:1401.5291v1.

[37] Wang, H. Y. and Ren, G. B., Bochner-Martinelli formula for k-Cauchy-Fueter operator, *J. Geom. Phys.* **84** (2014), 43-54.

[38] Wang, W., On non-homogeneous Cauchy-Fueter equations and Hartogs phenomenon in several quaternionic variables, *J. Geom. Phys.* **58**, (2008), 1203-1210.

[39] Wang, W., The k-Cauchy-Fueter complexes, Penrose transformation and Hartogs' phenomenon for quaternionic k-regular functions, *J. Geom. Phys.* **60**, (2010), 513-530.

[40] Wells, R., *Differential analysis on complex manifolds*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **65**, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1980.